arXiv:1208.1199v1 [math.AP] 6 Aug 2012

Global classical solution to 3D full compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum at infinity

Huanyao Wen[*], Changjiang Zhu[†]

Abstract

In this paper, we prove that there exists a unique global strong solution to the three-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum at infinity, provided that the initial mass is small in some sense. The initial vacuum may also appear in a subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ besides at infinity, or can be of compact support. Our result shows that the initial mass can be large if the coefficients of viscosity and heat conduction are taken to be large, which implies that large viscosity and heat conduction mean large solution. Furthermore, large time behavior and decay estimates of the strong solution are considered, and some necessary conditions also are given for global strong solution with momentum or energy conservation. Finally, we show that the global strong solution can become classical if the initial data is more regular.

Key Words: Full compressible Navier-Stokes equations, global classical and strong solutions, vacuum, compact support.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 76D05, 35K65, 76N10.

Contents

1 Introduction **2**

2 Main results **5**

3 Global strong solution **8**

4 Global dynamic properties **18**

4.1 Large time behavior 18

4.2 Decay estimates 23

5 Necessary conditions for global strong solution with momentum or energy conservation **26**

6 Global classical solution **27**

7 Appendix **34**

References **43**

---

[*] School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China. Email: huanyaowen@hotmail.com

[†] Corresponding author. School of Mathematics and Statistics, Central China Normal University, Wuhan 430079, China. Email: cjzhu@mail.ccnu.edu.cn

1 Introduction

The well-known compressible Navier-Stokes equations, describing the motion of compressible fluids (gas, et al), can be written in the sense of Eulerian coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^3$ as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ (\rho u)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla P = \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T}), \\ (\rho E)_t + \operatorname{div}(\rho E u + P u) = \operatorname{div}(\mathcal{T} u) + \operatorname{div}(\kappa \nabla \theta). \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

Here $\mathcal{T}$ is the stress tensor given by

$$\mathcal{T} = \mu \left(\nabla u + (\nabla u)' \right) + \lambda \operatorname{div} u I_3,$$

where I_3 is a 3×3 unit matrix; $\rho = \rho(x,t)$, $u = u(x,t) = (u_1, u_2, u_3)(x,t)$ and $\theta = \theta(x,t)$ are unknown functions denoting the density, velocity and absolute temperature, respectively; $P = P(\rho, \theta)$, E, and κ denote pressure, total energy, and coefficient of heat conduction, respectively, where $E = e + \frac{|u|^2}{2}$ (e is the internal energy); μ and λ are coefficients of viscosity, satisfying the following physical restrictions

$$\mu > 0, \ \lambda + \frac{2\mu}{3} \geq 0. \tag{1.2}$$

Assume that the equations of state of P and e are of ideal polytropic gas

$$P = R\rho\theta, \ e = C_\nu \theta,$$

for some constants $R > 0$ and $C_\nu > 0$. These two constants play no role in the analysis, we assume $R = C_\nu = 1$ henceforth.

There were lots of works on the well-posedness of solutions to the Cauchy problem and the initial-boundary-value problem for (1.1). While, because of the stronger nonlinearity in (1.1) compared with the compressible Navier-Stokes equations for isentropic flow (no temperature equation), many known mathematical results concern with the absence of vacuum (vacuum means $\rho = 0$), refer, for instance, to [16, 17, 18, 23, 24, 26, 27, 29] for local or global existence of classical solutions in one dimension or high dimensions. In particular, Matsumura and Nishida in [26, 27] showed that the global classical solution exists provided that the initial data is small in some sense and away from vacuum in three dimensions. In one dimension and high dimensions with spherically symmetric solutions, the global existence of classical solutions with large initial data has been obtained (see [24, 23, 17]). On the existence, asymptotic behavior of the weak solutions to the system (1.1) with $\inf \rho_0 > 0$, please refer, for instance, to [19, 20, 21, 13, 12, 9] for one dimension and high dimensions.

When vacuum may appear, some new challenging difficulties arise, such as degeneracy of the equations, et al. Despite this, some results concerning with global existence of weak solutions and local existence of strong solutions have been obtained in [10, 1, 3]. More precisely, Feireisl in his pioneering work [10] got the existence of so-called *variational* solutions to (1.1) with temperature-dependent coefficient of heat conduction in a bounded domain $\Omega \subseteq \mathbb{R}^N$ for $N \geq 2$. The temperature equation in [10] is satisfied only as an inequality in sense of distribution. This work is the very first attempt towards the existence of weak solutions to the full compressible Navier-Stokes equations in high dimensions. In order that the equations are satisfied as equalities in sense of distribution, Bresch and Desjardins in [1] proposed some different assumptions from [10], and obtained the existence of global weak solutions to the full compressible Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity and density-temperature-dependent coefficient of heat conduction in $\mathbb{T}^3$ or $\mathbb{R}^3$. As pointed out in [1], initial vacuum state at infinity seems not to be included. On the local existence and uniqueness of strong solutions to (1.1) with vacuum or non-vacuum at infinity in three dimensions, please refer to [3] for the perfect gas with constant coefficients of viscosity and heat conduction.

Recently, in our previous papers [30, 31], with vacuum and large initial data, we got existence and uniqueness of global classical solutions to the initial-boundary-value problem of (1.1) in one dimension and in high dimensions with spherically and cylindrically symmetric initial data. Temperature-dependent coefficient of heat conduction plays an crucial role in the proof of the main results in [30, 31]. With vacuum and small initial data, Huang and Li ([14]) obtained the existence and uniqueness of global classical solutions to the Cauchy problem of (1.1) in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with non-vacuum state at infinity and constant coefficients of viscosity and heat conduction.

With vacuum state at infinity, to our best knowledge on the well-posedness of the solutions to (1.1), there only exist local strong solutions in $\mathbb{R}^3$ ([3]). It seems that one should not expect better regularities of the global solutions to (1.1) in general because of Xin's results ([32]) and Rozanova's results ([28]). It was proved that there is no global smooth solution in $C^1([0,\infty); H^m(\mathbb{R}^d))$ $(m > [\frac{d}{2}] + 2)$ to the Cauchy problem of (1.1), if the initial density is nontrivial compactly supported ([32]), or the solutions are highly decreasing at infinity ([28]). Recently, we noticed that the restriction on the initial density in [32] was relaxed by Xin and Yan in [33] to a condition that the initial density has an isolated mass group (V, U) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} V \subset \bar{V} \subset U \subset \Omega, \\ \rho_0 = 0, \ \text{in } U - V, \end{cases}$$

and ρ_0 is not identically equal to zero on V, where U is a bounded and connected open set. Under this condition, it was proved that there is no global classical solution to (1.1) in the space $X = \big\{(\rho, u, E);\ \rho \in C^1([0,\infty); C^1),\ (u, E) \in C^1([0,\infty); C^2)\big\}$.

One natural question arises. Does there exist some global solution to (1.1) with regularities not up to X, when vacuum state appears at infinity? We will answer the question in this paper. More precisely, we will show the existence, uniqueness, asymptotic behavior as well as the decay rate, and some properties of global strong solution to (1.1) with vacuum state at infinity. Finally, we will prove that the global strong solution can become classical with regularities not up to X, if the initial data is regular enough. In particular, the initial density may also appear in a subset of $\mathbb{R}^3$ besides at infinity, or can be of compact support. The main difficulties are stated as follows:

(D_1) : No good *energy*.

When $\rho \to \tilde{\rho} > 0,\ \theta \to \tilde{\theta} > 0$, the following classical energy identity holds (refer, for instance, to (3.12) in [14] where both $\tilde{\rho}$ and $\tilde{\theta}$ are assumed to be 1)

$$\mathcal{C}(t) + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\lambda(\mathrm{div} u)^2 + \frac{\mu|\nabla u + (\nabla u)'|^2}{2}}{\theta} + \frac{\kappa |\nabla \theta|^2}{\theta^2} \right) = \mathcal{C}(0),$$

where

$$\mathcal{C}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho |u|^2}{2} + (1 + \rho \log \rho - \rho) + \rho(\theta - \log \theta - 1) \right)(t).$$

Here if $(\tilde{\rho}, \tilde{\theta}) = (0, 0)$, the corresponding $\mathcal{C}(0)$ might be negative when vacuum appear.

(D_2) : Zlotnik inequality (see Appendix A in Section 7) which was used in [15] does not work here. This is because $g(\infty) \neq -\infty$ due to the possible vanishing of θ.

Our strategies on handling (D_1) and (D_2) are as follows. Firstly, for (D_1), we can prove that the mass is conserved for all time with the regularities of the strong solutions, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0.$$

Then the initial mass is assumed to be small in some sense (may be large if the coefficients of viscosity and heat conduction are large), which could make the estimates go forth. Secondly, for (D_2), we notice $g \leq 0$, and then use some ideas of Lions ([25]) and Desjardins ([5]) to get the uniform (in time) upper bound of ρ. This could deduce $N_1 = 0$ in Appendix A and thus $g \leq 0$ is enough in stead of $g(\infty) = -\infty$, which is the crucial ingredient in the proof of the upper bound of the density. See Lemma 3.8 for more details.

Before we state our main results, we would like to give some notations which will be used throughout this paper.

Notations:

(i) $\displaystyle\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f \, dx.$

(ii) For $1 \leq l \leq \infty$, denote the L^l spaces and the standard Sobolev spaces as follows:

$$L^l = L^l(\Sigma),\ D^{k,l} = \Big\{ u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\Sigma) : \|\nabla^k u\|_{L^l} < \infty \Big\},$$

$$W^{k,l} = L^l \cap D^{k,l},\ H^k = W^{k,2},\ D^k = D^{k,2},$$

$$D^1_0 = \Big\{ u \in L^6 :\ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} < \infty \},$$

$$\|u\|_{D^{k,l}} = \|\nabla^k u\|_{L^l}.$$

(iii) $G = (2\mu + \lambda)\text{div}u - P$ is the effective viscous flux.

(iv) $\dot{h} = h_t + u \cdot \nabla h$ denotes the material derivative.

(v) $\displaystyle C_0 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0.$

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we present our main results. In Section 3, we prove the global existence of strong solution by making some *a priori* estimates globally in time. In Section 4, we get the decay estimates of the strong solution. In Section 5, we show some necessary conditions for the global strong solution under the assumptions that momentum or energy is conserved. In Section 6, based on the local well-posedness of the classical solution, we get the existence and uniqueness of global classical solution by deriving some higher order estimates globally in time. In Section 7, we give the proof of the local well-posedness of classical solution.

2 Main results

Assume that μ, λ and κ are constant. In this case, if the solutions are regular enough (such as strong solutions and classical solutions), (1.1) is equivalent to the following system

$$\begin{cases}\rho_t + \nabla\cdot(\rho u) = 0,\\ \rho u_t + \rho u\cdot\nabla u + \nabla P(\rho,\theta) = \mu\Delta u + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla \mathrm{div} u,\\ \rho\theta_t + \rho u\cdot\nabla\theta + \rho\theta \mathrm{div} u = \frac{\mu}{2}\left|\nabla u + (\nabla u)'\right|^2 + \lambda(\mathrm{div} u)^2 + \kappa\Delta\theta, \ \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3\times(0,\infty).\end{cases} \tag{2.1}$$

System (2.1) is supplemented with initial conditions

$$(\rho,u,\theta)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0,u_0,\theta_0), \ x\in\mathbb{R}^3, \tag{2.2}$$

with

$$\rho(x,t)\to 0, \ u(x,t)\to 0, \ \theta(x,t)\to 0, \ \text{as } |x|\to\infty, \ \text{for } t\geq 0. \tag{2.3}$$

We give the definition of the strong solution to (2.1)-(2.3) throughout this paper, which is similar to [3].

Definition 2.1 *(Strong solution) For $T>0$, (ρ,u,θ) is called a strong solution to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations (2.1)-(2.3) in $\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T]$, if for some $q\in(3,6)$,*

$$\begin{gathered} 0\leq\rho\in C([0,T];W^{1,q}\cap H^1), \ \rho_t\in C([0,T];L^2\cap L^q),\\ (u,\theta)\in C([0,T];D^2\cap D_0^1)\cap L^2([0,T];D^{2,q}), \ (u_t,\theta_t)\in L^2([0,T];D_0^1),\\ (\sqrt{\rho}u_t,\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t)\in L^\infty([0,T];L^2), \ \theta\geq 0,\end{gathered} \tag{2.4}$$

and (ρ,u,θ) satisfies (2.1) a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,T]$. In particular, the strong solution (ρ,u,θ) of (2.1)-(2.3) is called global strong solution, if the strong solution satisfies (2.4) for any $T>0$, and satisfies (2.1) a.e. in $\mathbb{R}^3\times(0,\infty)$.

We present our main theorems. The first one is concerned with global strong solution.

Theorem 2.2 *(Global strong solution) For any given $K_i>0$ $(i=1,2)$ and $\bar\rho>0$, assume that the initial data (ρ_0,u_0,θ_0) satisfies*

$$\rho_0\geq 0, \ \theta_0\geq 0, \ in \ \mathbb{R}^3, \ \rho_0\in H^1\cap W^{1,q}\cap L^1, \ (u_0,\theta_0)\in D^2\cap D_0^1, \tag{2.5}$$

for some $q\in(3,6)$, and

$$\begin{cases} 0\leq\rho_0\leq\bar\rho, \ in \ \mathbb{R}^3,\\ \|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}\leq\sqrt{K_1}, \ \|\sqrt{\rho_0}\theta_0\|_{L^2}\leq\sqrt{K_2},\end{cases} \tag{2.6}$$

and the compatibility conditions

$$\begin{cases}\mu\Delta u_0 + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div} u_0 - \nabla P(\rho_0,\theta_0) = \sqrt{\rho}_0 g_1,\\ \kappa\Delta\theta_0 + \frac{\mu}{2}\left|\nabla u_0 + (\nabla u_0)'\right|^2 + \lambda(\mathrm{div} u_0)^2 = \sqrt{\rho_0}g_2, \ x\in\mathbb{R}^3,\end{cases} \tag{2.7}$$

for some $g_i\in L^2$, $i=1,2$. Then there exists a unique global strong solution (ρ,u,θ) in $\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T]$ for any $T>0$, provided that

$$C_0\leq\min\left\{C_3, \ \frac{\check{C}(2\mu+\lambda)^{\frac{24}{7}}}{\mu^{\frac{12}{7}}(1+C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})^{\frac{12}{7}}}, \ \frac{\check{C}\kappa^{12}\mu^{12}(2\mu+\lambda)^{24}}{(1+C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})^{12}(\mu\kappa\tilde{E}+1)^{12}}, \ \frac{\check{C}(2\mu+\lambda)^6}{\tilde{E}^3}\right\}\triangleq\varepsilon_0,$$

where $\tilde{E} = \frac{(14\mu+9\lambda)}{2\mu} + \frac{6\bar{\rho}K_2}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)K_1} + \frac{8\bar{\rho}\kappa K_2}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)^2K_1} + 1$, *and*

$$C_3 =$$

$$\min\left\{\frac{\check{\mathcal{C}}\kappa^6(\mu+\lambda)^6\mu^6}{\Big(\kappa(\mu+\lambda)+1\Big)^6},\ \check{\mathcal{C}}\mu^3\kappa^3(2\mu+\lambda)^6,\ \frac{\check{\mathcal{C}}\mu^6}{\tilde{E}^2},\ \check{\mathcal{C}}\mu^2(2\mu+\lambda)^8, \frac{\check{\mathcal{C}}\kappa^4\mu^2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^8\tilde{E}^6},\ \frac{\check{\mathcal{C}}\kappa^4}{\tilde{E}^4\mu^2},\ \frac{\check{\mathcal{C}}\kappa^6}{\tilde{E}^3}\right\},$$

for some constant $\check{\mathcal{C}} > 0$ *depending on* $\bar{\rho}, K_1, K_2$, *and some other known constants but independent of* μ, λ, κ, *and* t.

Remark 2.3 *The local existence and uniqueness of the strong solution has been obtained by Cho and Kim in [3], if the initial data satisfies (2.5) and (2.7).*

Remark 2.4 *The coefficients of viscosity are only required to satisfy the physical restriction (1.2).*

Remark 2.5 *In the papers [12, 14], it seems not clear that the initial data could be large even if the coefficients of viscosity and heat conduction are large enough. Even for the isentropic flow ([15]), it seems not clear, either. In Theorem 2.2, for any fixed* λ *satisfying (1.2), as* μ *and* κ *are large enough, we have*

$$\tilde{E} \sim \frac{1}{\mu^2} + \frac{\kappa}{\mu^3} + 1,$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_3 \sim & \min\left\{\mu^6,\ \mu^9\kappa^3,\ \frac{\mu^6}{\tilde{E}^2},\ \mu^{10}, \frac{\kappa^4}{\mu^6\tilde{E}^6},\ \frac{\kappa^4}{\tilde{E}^4\mu^2},\ \frac{\kappa^6}{\tilde{E}^3}\right\} \\ \sim & \min\left\{\mu^6,\ \frac{\mu^{12}}{\kappa^2+\mu^6},\ \frac{\kappa^4\mu^{12}}{\kappa^6+\mu^{18}},\ \frac{\kappa^4\mu^{10}}{\kappa^4+\mu^{12}},\ \frac{\kappa^6\mu^9}{\kappa^3+\mu^9}\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_0 \sim & \min\left\{\frac{\mu^{12}}{\kappa^2+\mu^6},\ \frac{\kappa^4\mu^{12}}{\kappa^6+\mu^{18}},\ \frac{\kappa^4\mu^{10}}{\kappa^4+\mu^{12}},\ \frac{\kappa^6\mu^9}{\kappa^3+\mu^9},\ \mu^{\frac{12}{7}},\ \frac{\mu^{60}}{\kappa^{12}+\mu^{36}},\ \frac{\mu^{15}}{\kappa^3+\mu^9}\right\} \\ \sim & \mu^{\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

for some $\alpha = \alpha(r_1) > 0$, *provided* $\kappa \sim \mu^{r_1}$, *for any* $r_1 \in (\frac{3}{2}, 5)$. *In this case, the initial mass (i.e.,* C_0*) could be large if* μ *are sufficiently large. In fact, for initial-boundary-value problems or periodic problems of compressible Naiver-Stokes equations with vacuum in one dimension, or in two dimensions for isentropic flow, or in higher dimensions with symmetric initial data, the existence of global large regular solutions has been obtained, please refer to [6, 7, 30, 31, 22].*

Theorem 2.6 *(Asymptotic behavior) Under the conditions of Theorem 2.2, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\rho|\theta|^2 + |\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla\theta|^2\right) \to 0, \tag{2.8}$$

as $t \to \infty$, *provided that*

$$C_0 \leq \varepsilon_0.$$

Furthermore, the following decay rate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\rho\theta^2 + |\nabla u|^2\right) \leq \bar{C}\exp\{-\bar{C}_1 t\}, \tag{2.9}$$

holds for any $t \in [0, \infty)$*, provided that*

$$C_0 \le \min\{\varepsilon_0,\ \tilde{\varepsilon}_0\},$$

for some positive constants $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0$*,* $\bar{C}$*, and* $\bar{C}_1$ *depending on* $\mu, \lambda, \kappa, K_1, K_2, \bar{\rho}$*, and some other known constants but independent of* t*.*

Remark 2.7 *Some large time behaviors of the solutions to (1.1) with non-vacuum state at infinity have been obtained by Hoff ([12]) and Huang et al ([14]). Here we get the large time behavior (2.8) together with the exponentially decay estimate (2.9). While, the large time behavior of* ρ *and the decay rate of* ρ *and* θ *are still unknown.*

From physical points of view, mass conservation, momentum conservation and energy conservation are well known. But from mathematical points of view, the solutions obtained in Theorem 2.2 seem only satisfy mass conservation (see Lemma 3.4 below). What would happen if some solutions satisfy another two kinds of conservation besides mass conservation? We will answer the question in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.8 *Assume that global solution* (ρ, u, θ) *of (2.1)-(2.3) satisfies mass conservation for all time with initial density* $\rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$*, and is bounded as follows:*

$$0 \le \rho \le C_b,\ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C_b,\ \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C_b, \tag{2.10}$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)$*, and some positive constant* C_b *independent of* x *and* t*. If, in addition, the solution satisfies momentum conservation or energy conservation, i.e.,*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 u_0, \tag{2.11}$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho |u|^2}{2} + \rho\theta \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho_0 |u_0|^2}{2} + \rho_0\theta_0 \right), \tag{2.12}$$

for any $t > 0$*, then the initial momentum or the initial energy must be zero.*

In particular, for some global strong solution (ρ, u, θ) *of (2.1)-(2.3) satisfying (2.10) with initial density* $\rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$*, if (2.12) is satisfied, then*

$$(\rho, u, \theta) = (\rho_0, 0, 0), \tag{2.13}$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)$*.*

Remark 2.9 *Roughly speaking, (2.13) means that global bounded strong solution of (2.1)-(2.3) with energy conservation must be trivial, which is similar to the well-known Liouville Theorem.*

Remark 2.10 *The solution obtained in Theorem 2.2 satisfies (2.10), see Corollary 3.2 and Lemma 3.5 below. Thus, Theorem 2.8 implies that the global strong solution obtained in Theorem 2.2 does not satisfy momentum conservation and energy conservation generally.*

Remark 2.11 *The question of whether energy is conserved for weak solutions of the three-dimensional incompressible Euler's equation is the well-known Onsager's Conjecture, which has been answered by Constantin et al in [4]. In fact, if the solution of the three-dimensional incompressible Euler's equation is smooth enough, the energy conservation is obvious. Here, it is totally different, because the energy is not conserved generally for the global strong solution as in Theorem 2.2. By* $(1.1)_2$ *and* $(1.1)_3$*, (2.11) and (2.12) will be satisfied, if, for example, the solutions are highly decreasing at infinity as that in [28]. While, it is still open whether there exists a solution of (1.1) such that the energy (or momentum) is conserved.*

The global strong solution as in Theorem 2.2 can become classical, if the initial density is assumed to be satisfied as that in [14, 22]. More precisely, we get the next theorem.

Theorem 2.12 *(Global classical solution) Under the conditions of Theorem 2.2, if in addition that*

$$\rho_0 \in H^2 \cap W^{2,q}, \tag{2.14}$$

for some $q \in (3,6)$, then there exists a unique global classical solution (ρ, u, θ) such that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\rho \in C([0,T]; H^2 \cap W^{2,q}),\ \rho_t \in C([0,T]; H^1),\ \rho \geq 0,\ \theta \geq 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T],\\
&(u,\theta) \in C([0,T]; D^2 \cap D_0^1) \cap L^2([0,T]; D^3),\ (u_t, \theta_t) \in L^2([0,T]; D_0^1),\\
&(\sqrt{\rho}u_t, \sqrt{\rho}\theta_t) \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^2),\ \sqrt{t}\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt} \in L^2([0,T]; L^2),\ t\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt} \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^2),\\
&\sqrt{t}u \in L^\infty([0,T]; D^3),\ \sqrt{t}u_t \in L^\infty([0,T]; D_0^1) \cap L^2([0,T]; D^2),\\
&tu \in L^\infty([0,T]; D^{3,q}),\ tu_t \in L^\infty([0,T]; D^2),\ tu_{tt} \in L^2([0,T]; D_0^1),\\
&t\theta \in L^\infty([0,T]; D^3) \cap L^2([0,T]; D^4),\ t\theta_t \in L^\infty([0,T]; D_0^1) \cap L^2([0,T]; D^2),\\
&t^{\frac{3}{2}}\theta \in L^\infty([0,T]; D^4),\ t^{\frac{3}{2}}\theta_t \in L^\infty([0,T]; D^2),\ t\sqrt{\rho}\theta_{tt} \in L^2([0,T]; L^2),\\
&t^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}\theta_{tt} \in L^\infty([0,T]; L^2),\ t^{\frac{3}{2}}\theta_{tt} \in L^2([0,T]; D_0^1),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.15}$$

for any $T > 0$.

Remark 2.13 *The classical solution here means that the solution satisfies (2.1) in $\mathbb{R}^3 \times (0,\infty)$ and satisfies (2.2)-(2.3). This implies that the solution with regularities as in (2.15) is classical.*

Remark 2.14 *Though the initial data can be large if the coefficients of viscosity and heat conduction are large, it is still unknown whether the global classical solution exists when the initial data are large for the fixed coefficients of viscosity and heat conduction. It should be noted that the similar question of whether there exists a global smooth solution of the three-dimensional incompressible Navier-Stokes equations with smooth initial data is one of the most outstanding mathematical open problems ([8]).*

3 Global strong solution

In this section, we shall prove the global existence and uniqueness of the strong solution. Since the local existence and uniqueness of the strong solution has been obtained in [3] under the conditions of Theorem 2.2, we assume that $T^* > 0$ is the maximal time for the existence of the strong solution. We shall prove $T^* = \infty$ by using contradiction arguments.

Recall that we got the result in our previous paper [31]: If $T^* < \infty$, then

$$\lim \sup_{T \nearrow T^*} \left(\|\rho\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty)} + \|\rho\theta\|_{L^4(0,T;L^{\frac{12}{5}})} \right) = \infty, \tag{3.1}$$

provided $3\mu > \lambda$. The additional restriction on the coefficients of viscosity was only used when the following estimate was obtained

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho |u|^4 \, dx + \int_0^T \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2 |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \, dt \leq \hat{C}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\hat{C}$ may depend on T^* if $T^* < \infty$, but is independent of T for any $T < T^*$.

If $T^* < \infty$, our goal is to prove that (3.2) is valid for the coefficients of viscosity only satisfying (1.2) so that the additional restriction $3\mu > \lambda$ is not needed, and that (3.1) is not true, which is the desired contradiction.

To do this, we denote

$$A(T) = \sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2 + \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\rho|\dot{u}|^2}{\mu},$$

and

$$B(T) = \sup_{0\le t\le T}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\theta^2 + \int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\kappa|\nabla\theta|^2.$$

The following proposition plays a crucial role in the section.

Proposition 3.1 *Assume that the initial data satisfies (2.5), (2.6), and (2.7). If the solution* (ρ, u, θ) *satisfies*

$$A(T) \le 2\tilde{E}K_1,\ B(T) \le 2K_2,\ 0 \le \rho \le 2\bar{\rho},\ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T], \tag{3.3}$$

then

$$A(T) \le \frac{3}{2}\tilde{E}K_1,\ B(T) \le \frac{3}{2}K_2,\ 0 \le \rho \le \frac{3}{2}\bar{\rho},\ (x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T], \tag{3.4}$$

provided $C_0 \le \varepsilon_0$. *Here* $\tilde{E} = \frac{(14\mu+9\lambda)}{2\mu} + \frac{6\bar{\rho}K_2}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)K_1} + \frac{8\bar{\rho}\kappa K_2}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)^2K_1} + 1$, *and*

$$\varepsilon_0 = \min\left\{C_3,\ \frac{\check{C}(2\mu+\lambda)^{\frac{24}{7}}}{\mu^{\frac{12}{7}}(1+C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})^{\frac{12}{7}}},\ \frac{\check{C}\kappa^{12}\mu^{12}(2\mu+\lambda)^{24}}{(1+C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})^{12}(\mu\kappa\tilde{E}+1)^{12}},\ \frac{\check{C}(2\mu+\lambda)^6}{\tilde{E}^3}\right\},$$

where

$C_3 =$

$$\min\left\{\frac{\check{C}\kappa^6(\mu+\lambda)^6\mu^6}{\Big(\kappa(\mu+\lambda)+1\Big)^6},\ \check{C}\mu^3\kappa^3(2\mu+\lambda)^6,\ \frac{\check{C}\mu^6}{\tilde{E}^2},\ \check{C}\mu^2(2\mu+\lambda)^8,\ \frac{\check{C}\kappa^4\mu^2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^8\tilde{E}^6},\ \frac{\check{C}\kappa^4}{\tilde{E}^4\mu^2},\ \frac{\check{C}\kappa^6}{\tilde{E}^3}\right\},$$

for some constant $\check{C} > 0$ *depending on* $\bar{\rho}, K_1, K_2$, *and some other known constants but independent of* μ, λ, κ, *and* t.

With Proposition 3.1, we shall get $T^* = \infty$. More precisely, we get the following corollary.

Corollary 3.2 *With Proposition 3.1, it holds that* $T^* = \infty$ *with (3.3) valid for any* $0 \le T < \infty$.

Proof. if $T_1 > 0$ is the maximal time such that (3.3) is valid, then $T_1 = T^*$. For otherwise, (3.4) implies that T_1 is not the maximal time.

If $T^* < \infty$, then (3.2) could be deduced by using (3.3) together with the L^1-bound of ρ (see Lemma 3.4 below). With $T_1 = T^*$ and (3.3), (3.1) is not true which reaches a contradiction. Thus, we get $T^* = \infty$. Then $T_1 = T^* = \infty$, which implies that (3.3) is valid for any $0 \le T < \infty$.

Remark 3.3 *Corollary 3.2 means that if Proposition 3.1 is valid, the global existence of strong solutions will be got. The uniqueness of the solutions can be referred to [3]. In this case, the proof of Theorem 2.2 is complete.*

Let's come back to prove Proposition 3.1. Throughout the rest of the paper, we denote generic constants by C depending on $\bar{\rho}, K_1, K_2$, and some other known constants but independent of μ, λ, κ, and t.

Proof of Proposition 3.1:

Lemma 3.4 *Under the conditions of Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0, \tag{3.5}$$

for any $t \in [0, T^*)$.

Proof. For any $r > 1$, let ϕ_r be the classical cut-off function satisfying

$$\phi_r \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^3),\ \operatorname{supp}\phi_r \in B_r(0),\ \phi_r \equiv 1 \text{ in } B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0),\ 0 \le \phi_r \le 1,\ \text{and } |\nabla \phi_r| \le \frac{C}{r} \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3.$$

Multiplying $(2.1)_1$ by ϕ_r, we have

$$(\phi_r \rho)_t + \phi_r \nabla \cdot (\rho u) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Integrating (3.6) over $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, t]$ for $0 \le t < T^*$, and using integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_r \rho = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_r \rho_0 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u \cdot \nabla \phi_r \\ = & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \phi_r \rho_0 + \int_0^t \int_{B_r(0)/B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0)} \rho u \cdot \nabla \phi_r \\ = & I_1 + I_2. \end{aligned} \tag{3.7}$$

Since $\rho_0 \in L^1$, we have

$$I_1 \to \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 \tag{3.8}$$

as $r \to \infty$.

For I_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_2| \le & C \int_0^t \int_{B_r(0)/B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0)} \rho \frac{|u|}{r} \\ \le & C \int_0^t \|u\|_{L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\rho\|_{L^2\left(B_r(0)/B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0)\right)} \left\|\frac{1}{r}\right\|_{L^3\left(B_r(0)/B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0)\right)} \\ \le & C \int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^3)} \|\rho\|_{L^2\left(B_r(0)/B_{\frac{r}{2}}(0)\right)}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\nabla u \in C([0, t]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ and $\rho \in C([0, t]; L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ for $t \in [0, T^*)$, let r go to ∞, one has

$$I_2 \to 0.$$

This together with (3.7) and (3.8) deduces

$$\rho(\cdot, t) \in L^1,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0$$

for any $t \in [0, T]$. $\square$

Lemma 3.5 *Under the conditions of Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\,dx\le C_0^{\frac{1}{2}},\tag{3.9}$$

provided

$$C_0\le\frac{\kappa^6(\mu+\lambda)^6\mu^6}{C^6\Big(\kappa(\mu+\lambda)+1\Big)^6}\triangleq C_1.$$

Proof. Multiplying $(2.1)_2$ by u, integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\right)\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\theta\mathrm{div}u\le(\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathrm{div}u|^2+\frac{1}{4(\mu+\lambda)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^2\theta^2.\end{aligned}$$

This, together with Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (3.3) and (3.5), deduces

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u|^2+\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\le\frac{1}{4(\mu+\lambda)}\|\rho\|_{L^3}^2\|\theta\|_{L^6}^2\le\frac{CC_0^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2.\tag{3.10}$$

Integrating (3.10) over $[0,T]$, and using (3.3) again, we have

$$\int_0^T\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\le\frac{C}{\mu}\|\rho_0\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{CC_0^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)}\int_0^T\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\le\left(1+\frac{1}{\kappa(\mu+\lambda)}\right)\frac{CC_0^{\frac{2}{3}}}{\mu}\le C_0^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

provided

$$C_0\le\frac{\kappa^6(\mu+\lambda)^6\mu^6}{C^6\Big(\kappa(\mu+\lambda)+1\Big)^6}\triangleq C_1.$$

□

Lemma 3.6 *Under the conditions of Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$A(T)\le\frac{3\tilde{E}K_1}{2},\tag{3.11}$$

provided

$$C_0\le\min\left\{C_1,\ \frac{\mu^3\kappa^3(2\mu+\lambda)^6}{216C^3},\ \frac{\mu^6}{36C^2\tilde{E}^2},\ \frac{\mu^2(2\mu+\lambda)^8}{36C^2}\right\}\triangleq C_2,$$

where $\tilde{E}=\frac{(14\mu+9\lambda)}{2\mu}+\frac{6\bar{\rho}K_2}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)K_1}+\frac{8\bar{\rho}\kappa K_2}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)^2K_1}+1.$

Proof. Multiplying $(2.1)_2$ by u_t, and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\right)\\ =&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P\mathrm{div}u-\frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P^2-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_tG+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\dot{u}\\ =&\sum_{i=1}^4 II_i,\end{aligned}\tag{3.12}$$

where $G=(2\mu+\lambda)\mathrm{div}u-P$.

Recalling $P=\rho\theta$, we obtain from $(2.1)_1$ and $(2.1)_3$

$$P_t=-\mathrm{div}(Pu)-\rho\theta\mathrm{div}u+\frac{\mu}{2}|\nabla u+(\nabla u)'|^2+\lambda(\mathrm{div}u)^2+\kappa\Delta\theta. \tag{3.13}$$

Substituting (3.13) into II_3, and using integration by parts, Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}II_3=&-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}Pu\cdot\nabla G+\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\theta\mathrm{div}uG\\&-\frac{\mu}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u+(\nabla u)'|^2G-\frac{\lambda}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\mathrm{div}u)^2G\\&+\frac{\kappa}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla\theta\cdot\nabla G\\\le&\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^3}\|u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}+\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^3}\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}\|G\|_{L^6}\\&+C\|G\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}+\frac{\kappa}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\\le&\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}+C\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\\&+\frac{\kappa}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.14}$$

Taking div and curl, respectively, on both side of $(2.1)_2$, we get

$$\Delta G=\mathrm{div}(\rho\dot{u}), \tag{3.15}$$

and

$$\mu\Delta(\mathrm{curl}u)=\mathrm{curl}(\rho\dot{u}). \tag{3.16}$$

By (3.15), (3.16), the standard L^2-estimates, and (3.3), we get

$$\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}\le\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\le\sqrt{2\bar{\rho}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}, \tag{3.17}$$

and

$$\|\nabla\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^2}\le\frac{1}{\mu}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\le\frac{\sqrt{2\bar{\rho}}}{\mu}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}. \tag{3.18}$$

Since $\nabla u=\nabla\Delta^{-1}(\nabla\mathrm{div}u-\nabla\times\mathrm{curl}u)$, we apply Calderon-Zygmund inequality to get

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\le C\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^3}+C\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^3}.$$

This, together with (3.17), (3.18), Sobolev inequality, Hölder inequality, and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, deduces

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\le&C\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^3}+\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|G\|_{L^3}+\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^3}\\\le&C\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|G\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\\\le&\frac{C}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|G\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.19}$$

Substituting (3.17) into (3.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}II_3 \leq & \frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \\ & + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{2\bar{\rho}}}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

For II_4, using Hölder inequality, (3.3), and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$II_4 \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \leq C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}. \tag{3.21}$$

Putting (3.20) and (3.21) together, and using (3.19), and Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}II_3 + II_4 \leq & \frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \frac{C}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}} \\ & + \frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\kappa\sqrt{2\bar{\rho}}}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \\ \leq & \frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\|\rho\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C}{\mu^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6 \\ & + \frac{C}{(2\mu+\lambda)^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2\bar{\rho}\kappa^2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.22}$$

Substituting (3.22) into (3.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\right) \\ \leq & \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P\mathrm{div}u - \frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P^2 + \frac{C}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\|\rho\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \frac{C}{\mu^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6 + \frac{C}{(2\mu+\lambda)^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{2\bar{\rho}\kappa^2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{3.23}$$

Integrating (3.23) over $[0,t]$, and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}& \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u|^2\right) \\ \leq & \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u_0|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u_0|^2\right) - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_0\theta_0\mathrm{div}u_0 + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_0^2\theta_0^2 \\ & + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathrm{div}u|^2 + \frac{1}{\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^2\theta^2 + \frac{C}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\int_0^t\|\rho\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ & + \frac{C}{\mu^2}\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6 + \frac{C}{(2\mu+\lambda)^4}\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{4\bar{\rho}\kappa^2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

which, together with (3.3), (3.5), and (3.9), gives

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2+\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\\
\le&\mu K_1+3(\mu+\lambda)K_1+\frac{3(2\mu+\lambda)K_1}{2}+\frac{2\bar{\rho}K_2}{2\mu+\lambda}+\frac{4\bar{\rho}K_2}{\mu+\lambda}+\frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{3}}A(T)}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\frac{K_2}{\kappa}\\
&+\frac{CA(T)^2}{\mu^2}\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{CK_2A(T)}{(2\mu+\lambda)^4}\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\frac{8\bar{\rho}\kappa K_2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\\
\le&\frac{(14\mu+9\lambda)}{2}K_1+\frac{6\bar{\rho}K_2}{\mu+\lambda}+\frac{8\bar{\rho}\kappa K_2}{(\mu+\lambda)^2}+\frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{3}}\tilde{E}K_1}{\kappa(2\mu+\lambda)^2}+\frac{C\tilde{E}^2K_1}{\mu^2}C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}+\frac{C\tilde{E}K_1}{(2\mu+\lambda)^4}C_0^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
\le&\mu\tilde{E}K_1+\frac{\mu\tilde{E}K_1}{2}=\frac{3\mu\tilde{E}K_1}{2},
\end{aligned}\tag{3.24}$$

provided

$$C_0\le\min\left\{C_1,\ \frac{\mu^3\kappa^3(2\mu+\lambda)^6}{216C^3},\ \frac{\mu^6}{36C^2\tilde{E}^2},\ \frac{\mu^2(2\mu+\lambda)^8}{36C^2}\right\}\triangleq C_2,$$

where $\tilde{E}=\frac{(14\mu+9\lambda)}{2\mu}+\frac{6\bar{\rho}K_2}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)K_1}+\frac{8\bar{\rho}\kappa K_2}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)^2K_1}+1$.

By (3.24), we get (3.11). □

Lemma 3.7 *Under the conditions of Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$B(T)\le\frac{3K_2}{2},\tag{3.25}$$

provided

$$C_0\le\min\left\{C_2,\frac{\kappa^4\mu^2}{6^4C^4(2\mu+\lambda)^8\tilde{E}^6},\ \frac{\kappa^4}{6^4C^4\tilde{E}^4\mu^2},\ \frac{\kappa^6}{6^6C^6\tilde{E}^3}\right\}\triangleq C_3.$$

Proof. Multiplying $(2.1)_3$ by θ and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\kappa\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\theta|^2\\
=&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho\theta^2\mathrm{div}u+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{\mu}{2}|\nabla u+(\nabla u)'|^2\theta+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\lambda(\mathrm{div}u)^2\theta\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^3 III_i.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.26}$$

For III_1, using Hölder inequality, and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$III_1\le\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}\|\theta\|_{L^6}^2\|\rho\|_{L^6}\le C\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\|_{L^6}.\tag{3.27}$$

For III_2 and III_3, using Hölder inequality, and Sobolev inequality again, together with (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&III_2+III_3\\
\le&C(2\mu+\lambda)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\le C(2\mu+\lambda)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\
\le&\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&+C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\|_{L^6}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.28}$$

Substituting (3.27) and (3.28) into (3.26), and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\kappa\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\theta|^2\\
\leq&\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)}{\sqrt{\mu}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\\
&+C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\|_{L^6}\\
\leq&\frac{\kappa}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2+\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^2}{\kappa\mu}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}+\frac{C}{\kappa}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\\
&+C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\|_{L^6}.
\end{aligned}\tag{3.29}$$

Integrating (3.29) over $[0,t]$, and using (3.3), Hölder inequality, (3.5), and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
B(t)\leq&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_0|\theta_0|^2+\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^2}{\kappa\mu}\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\\
&+\frac{C}{\kappa}\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}+C\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\|_{L^6}\\
\leq&K_2+\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^2A(T)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\kappa\sqrt{\mu}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)}+\frac{C\sqrt{K_2}A(T)\sqrt{\mu}}{\kappa}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)}\\
&+C\sqrt{A(T)}C_0^{\frac{1}{6}}\frac{K_2}{\kappa}\\
\leq&K_2+\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^2\tilde{E}^{\frac{3}{2}}}{\kappa\sqrt{\mu}}(K_2C_0^{\frac{1}{4}})+\frac{C\tilde{E}\sqrt{\mu}}{\kappa}(K_2C_0^{\frac{1}{4}})+C\sqrt{\tilde{E}}C_0^{\frac{1}{6}}\frac{K_2}{\kappa}.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$B(t)\leq K_2+\frac{K_2}{2}=\frac{3K_2}{2},$$

provided

$$C_0\leq\min\left\{C_2,\frac{\kappa^4\mu^2}{6^4C^4(2\mu+\lambda)^8\tilde{E}^6},\ \frac{\kappa^4}{6^4C^4\tilde{E}^4\mu^2},\ \frac{\kappa^6}{6^6C^6\tilde{E}^3}\right\}\triangleq C_3.$$

□

Lemma 3.8 *Under the conditions of Proposition 3.1, it holds that*

$$0\leq\rho\leq\frac{3\bar{\rho}}{2},\tag{3.30}$$

for any $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T]$, *provided*

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0\leq&\min\left\{C_3,\ \frac{(2\mu+\lambda)^{\frac{24}{7}}(\log\frac{3}{2})^{\frac{12}{7}}}{(3\mu C)^{\frac{12}{7}}(1+C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})^{\frac{12}{7}}},\ \frac{\kappa^{12}\mu^{12}(2\mu+\lambda)^{24}(\log\frac{3}{2})^{12}}{3^{12}C^{12}(1+C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})^{12}(\mu\kappa\tilde{E}+1)^{12}},\ \frac{(2\mu+\lambda)^6(\log\frac{3}{2})^6}{3^6C^6\tilde{E}^3}\right\}\\
\triangleq&\varepsilon_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first inequality of (3.30) is obvious. In fact, this has been obtained in [3] for any $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T]\subset\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T^*)$. We only need to prove the second inequality of (3.30).

Let us mention that the Zlotnik inequality (see Appendix A) used in [15] seems not work here. The main ingredient for handling such the difficulty is an equation derived from $(2.1)_1$ involving $\log\rho$. It was introduced by P.L. Lions ([25]) to prove global existence of weak solutions of the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations, and was later used by B. Desjardins ([5]) to study the regularity of weak solutions of the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations for small time under periodic boundary conditions.

More precisely, for any given $(x,t)\in\mathbb{R}^3\times[0,T]$. It follows from $(2.1)_1$ formally that

$$Y'(s)=g(Y)+b'(s), \tag{3.31}$$

where

$$Y(s)=\log\rho\left(X(t;x,s),s\right),\ g(Y)=-\frac{P\left(X(t;x,s),s\right)}{2\mu+\lambda},\ b(s)=-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_0^s G\left(X(t;x,\tau),\tau\right)d\tau,$$

and $X(t;x,s)$ is given by

$$\begin{cases}\dfrac{d}{ds}X(t;x,s)=u\left(X(t;x,s),s\right),\ 0\le s<t,\\ X(t;x,t)=x.\end{cases}$$

By (3.15) and $(2.1)_1$, we have

$$G\left(X(t;x,\tau),\tau\right)=\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}\left((\rho u)_\tau+u\mathrm{div}(\rho u)+\rho u\cdot\nabla u\right)=\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{d}{d\tau}(\rho u)+\rho u\mathrm{div}u\right).$$

This deduces

$$\begin{aligned}b(t)-b(0)=&-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_0^t\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}\left(\frac{d}{d\tau}(\rho u)+\rho u\mathrm{div}u\right)d\tau\\ =&-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}(\rho u)+\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}(\rho_0u_0)-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_0^t\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}\left(\rho u\mathrm{div}u\right)d\tau\\ \le&\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}(\rho u)\|_{L^\infty}+\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}(\rho_0u_0)\|_{L^\infty}\\ &+\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_0^t\|\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}\left(\rho u\mathrm{div}u\right)\|_{L^\infty}d\tau=\sum_{i=1}^3 IV_i.\end{aligned}$$

For IV_1, using Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, Sobolev inequality, Calderon-Zygmund inequality, Hölder inequality, (3.3), and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}IV_1\le&\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}(\rho u)\|_{L^6}^{\frac13}\|\nabla\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}(\rho u)\|_{L^4}^{\frac23}\\ \le&\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho u\|_{L^2}^{\frac13}\|\rho u\|_{L^4}^{\frac23}\le\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^3}^{\frac13}\|u\|_{L^6}^{\frac13}\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}^{\frac23}\|u\|_{L^6}^{\frac23}\\ \le&\frac{CC_0^{\frac16}}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\le\frac{CC_0^{\frac16}\sqrt{\tilde{E}}}{2\mu+\lambda}.\end{aligned}\tag{3.32}$$

Similarly, for IV_2, we have

$$IV_2\le\frac{CC_0^{\frac16}\sqrt{\tilde{E}}}{2\mu+\lambda}.\tag{3.33}$$

Similar to (3.32), for IV_3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}IV_3\le&\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_0^t\|\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}\left(\rho u\mathrm{div}u\right)\|_{L^6}^{\frac13}\|\nabla\Delta^{-1}\mathrm{div}\left(\rho u\mathrm{div}u\right)\|_{L^4}^{\frac23}d\tau\\ \le&\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_0^t\|\rho u\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac13}\|\rho u\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^4}^{\frac23}d\tau\\ \le&\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_0^t\|\rho u\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}d\tau+\frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_0^t\|\rho u\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^4}d\tau.\end{aligned}\tag{3.34}$$

By the definition of G, we have

$$\rho u \mathrm{div} u = \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\rho u G + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\rho^2 u\theta.$$

This, together with Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (3.17), (3.18), (3.3), and (3.5), deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho u \mathrm{div} u\|_{L^2} \le & \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho u G\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho^2 u\theta\|_{L^2} \\
\le & \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^6}\|u\|_{L^6}\|G\|_{L^6} + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}^2\|u\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6} \\
\le & \frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2} + \frac{C}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2} \\
\le & \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{6}}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}}{2\mu+\lambda}\left(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.35}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\rho u \mathrm{div} u\|_{L^4} \le & \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho u G\|_{L^4} + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\rho^2 u\theta\|_{L^4} \\
\le & \frac{\|u\|_{L^\infty}}{2\mu+\lambda}\Big(\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}\|G\|_{L^6} + \|\rho\|_{L^{24}}^2\|\theta\|_{L^6}\Big) \\
\le & \frac{C(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^6})}{2\mu+\lambda}\Big(\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\rho\|_{L^{24}}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\Big) \\
\le & \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{12}}}{2\mu+\lambda}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\times u\|_{L^6} + \|\mathrm{div} u\|_{L^6})\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\Big) \\
\le & \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{12}}}{2\mu+\lambda}\Big(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\mu}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2})\Big)\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\Big).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.36}$$

Putting (3.35) and (3.36) into (3.34), and using Cauchy inequality, (3.3), and (3.9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
IV_3 \le & \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{6}}}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\left(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\right)d\tau \\
& + \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{12}}}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\int_0^t \Big(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2} + \frac{1}{\mu}(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2})\Big)\Big(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\Big)d\tau \\
\le & \frac{C\mu(C_0^{\frac{1}{6}} + C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\int_0^t \|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau + \frac{C(C_0^{\frac{1}{6}} + C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})}{\mu(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\int_0^t (\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2)\,d\tau \\
\le & \frac{C\mu(C_0^{\frac{1}{6}} + C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{C(C_0^{\frac{1}{6}} + C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})}{\mu(2\mu+\lambda)^2}(\mu\tilde{E} + \frac{1}{\kappa}).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.37}$$

By (3.32), (3.33) and (3.37), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
b(t) - b(0) \le & \frac{C\mu(C_0^{\frac{1}{6}} + C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}C_0^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{C(C_0^{\frac{1}{6}} + C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})}{\mu(2\mu+\lambda)^2}(\mu\tilde{E} + \frac{1}{\kappa}) + \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{6}}\sqrt{\tilde{E}}}{2\mu+\lambda} \\
\le & \log\frac{3}{2},
\end{aligned} \tag{3.38}$$

provided

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0 \le & \min\left\{C_3,\ \frac{(2\mu+\lambda)^{\frac{24}{7}}(\log\frac{3}{2})^{\frac{12}{7}}}{(3\mu C)^{\frac{12}{7}}(1+C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})^{\frac{12}{7}}},\ \frac{\kappa^{12}\mu^{12}(2\mu+\lambda)^{24}(\log\frac{3}{2})^{12}}{3^{12}C^{12}(1+C_0^{\frac{1}{12}})^{12}(\mu\kappa\tilde{E}+1)^{12}},\ \frac{(2\mu+\lambda)^6(\log\frac{3}{2})^6}{3^6C^6\tilde{E}^3}\right\} \\
\triangleq & \varepsilon_0.
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating (3.31) w.r.t. s over $[0,t]$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\log\rho(x,t)=&\log\rho_0\left(X(t;x,0)\right)+\int_0^t g(Y)\,d\tau+b(t)-b(0)\\ \le&\log\bar\rho+\log\frac{3}{2},\end{aligned}$$

which deduces

$$\rho\le\frac{3\bar\rho}{2}.$$

$\square$

Remark 3.9 *The proof of the upper bound of ρ is not rigorous, since ρ may vanish. In fact, this could be handled by constructing an approximate solution $\rho^\delta>0$ to (2.1)$_1$ with initial data $\rho_0+\delta>0$. Then one can replace $\log\rho$ by $\log\rho^\delta$ and finally pass to the limits $\delta\to 0^+$.*

4 Global dynamic properties

In this section, we denote generic constants by $\bar C$ depending on the initial data, coefficients of viscosity and heat conduction and some other known constants but independent of t. Theorem 2.6 will be proved in the following two subsections.

4.1 Large time behavior

The main result in this subsection is stated as follows.

Proposition 4.1 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.6, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho|\theta|^2+|\nabla u|^2+|\nabla\theta|^2\right)\to 0, \tag{4.1}$$

as $t\to\infty$.

Though the global existence of the strong solution has been obtained in Section 3, the higher order estimates of the solutions may depend on t for any $t\in[0,\infty)$. To prove Proposition 4.1, we need some higher order estimates of the solutions with the upper bounds independent of t. More precisely, the following lemmas are needed.

Lemma 4.2 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.6, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho|\dot u|^2+|\nabla\theta|^2\right)+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(|\nabla\dot u|^2+\rho|\dot\theta|^2\Big)\le\bar C, \tag{4.2}$$

for any $t\in[0,\infty)$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.4 in [31], we can easily get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot u|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla\dot u|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}\,\dot u|^2\right)\\ \le&\frac{\mu}{2}\|\nabla\dot u\|_{L^2}^2+\bar C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot\theta\|_{L^2}^2+\bar C\|\sqrt[4]{\rho}\theta\|_{L^4}^4+\bar C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4,\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac12\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot\theta|^2+\frac12\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\kappa|\nabla\theta|^2\\ \le&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\Big(\frac{\mu}{2}\left|\nabla u+(\nabla u)'\right|^2+\lambda(\mathrm{div}u)^2\Big)\theta+\bar C\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot u\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2})\\ &+\bar C\|\nabla\dot u\|_{L^2}\left(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot u\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\right)\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\bar C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4+\bar C\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4+\bar C\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.4}$$

For $\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4$, similar to (3.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 \le& \bar{C}\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^4}^4+\bar{C}\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^4}^4\\ \le&\bar{C}\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^4}^4+\bar{C}\|G\|_{L^4}^4+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4\\ \le&\bar{C}\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^2}^3+\bar{C}\|G\|_{L^2}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}^3+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4\\ \le&\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4.\end{aligned}\tag{4.5}$$

Substituting (4.5) into (4.3) and (4.4), respectively, integrating the results over $[0,t]$, and using Corollary 3.2, (3.9), and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\dot{u}|^2\\ \le&\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\\ \le&\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\sup_{0\le s\le t}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\int_0^t\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\\ \le&\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C},\end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{\theta}|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2\\ \le&2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{\mu}{2}\left|\nabla u+(\nabla u)'\right|^2+\lambda(\mathrm{div}u)^2\right)\theta\\ &+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\left(\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\right)\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\ &+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\\ \le&\bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\theta+\varepsilon\int_0^t\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C},\end{aligned}\tag{4.7}$$

for $\varepsilon>0$ to be decided later.

For the first term of the right hand side of (4.7), for $\varepsilon>0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2\theta\le&\bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}}^2\|\theta\|_{L^6}\le\bar{C}\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\bar{C}\|\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\bar{C}\left(\|\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}+\|G\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\ &+\bar{C}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^{\frac{12}{5}}}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\bar{C}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3}{2}}\le\frac{1}{2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2+\varepsilon\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C},\end{aligned}\tag{4.8}$$

where we have used Hölder inequality, Calderon-Zygmund inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (3.17), (3.18), Corollary 3.2, Sobolev inequality and Young inequality.

Substituting (4.8) into (4.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{\theta}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2\\ \le&\varepsilon\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\varepsilon\int_0^t\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.9}$$

Multiplying (4.9) by $2\bar{C}$, putting the result into (4.6), and taking ε sufficiently small, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho|\dot{u}|^2+|\nabla\theta|^2)+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\nabla\dot{u}|^2+\rho|\dot{\theta}|^2)\leq\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^4+\bar{C}. \tag{4.10}$$

By Corollary 3.2, $\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2$ and $\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2$ are bounded uniformly for t in $L^1([0,t])$. This together with (4.10) and Gronwall inequality deduces (4.2). □

Corollary 4.3 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.6, it holds that*

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^r}+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho|\theta_t|^2+|\nabla^2\theta|^2\right)\leq\bar{C}, \tag{4.11}$$

for any $r\in[2,6]$, and any $t\in[0,\infty)$.

Proof. Similar to (3.19), using (4.2) and Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}\leq&\bar{C}\|\mathrm{culr}u\|_{L^6}+\bar{C}\|G\|_{L^6}+\bar{C}\|\rho\theta\|_{L^6}\\ \leq&\bar{C}\|\nabla\mathrm{culr}u\|_{L^2}+\bar{C}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\ \leq&\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\leq\bar{C}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.12}$$

By Corollary 3.2, we have

$$\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\leq\bar{C}.$$

This, together with (4.12) and the interpolation inequality and Sobolev inequality, deduces

$$\|u\|_{L^\infty}+\|\nabla u\|_{L^r}\leq\bar{C}, \tag{4.13}$$

for any $r\in[2,6]$, and any $t\in[0,\infty)$.

By $(2.1)_3$, and the standard L^2-estimates for the elliptic system, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2\leq&\bar{C}\|\rho\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\|\rho\theta\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4\\ \leq&\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}^2\|\theta\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^2+\bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^3\\ \leq&\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^3+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^3\\ \leq&\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{\theta}\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2+\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.14}$$

where we have used Hölder inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (4.13), Corollary 3.2, (4.2), (4.12), and (3.5).

By (4.14), (4.2), and Corollary 3.2, we get

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\theta|^2\leq\bar{C}.$$

Recall $\dot{\theta}=\theta_t+u\cdot\nabla\theta$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\theta_t|^2\leq&\bar{C}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{\theta}|^2+\bar{C}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u\cdot\nabla\theta|^2\\ \leq&\bar{C}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{\theta}|^2+\bar{C}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2\leq\bar{C},\end{aligned}$$

where we have used Corollary 3.2, (4.2), and (4.13). □

Lemma 4.4 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.6, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\theta_t|^2 + \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 \le \bar{C}, \tag{4.15}$$

for any $t \in [0, \infty)$.

Proof. By Lemma 6.6 in [31], we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\theta_t|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 \\
=& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_t \left(\frac{\theta_t}{2} + u\cdot\nabla\theta + \theta\mathrm{div}u\right)\theta_t - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(u_t\cdot\nabla\theta + u\cdot\nabla\theta_t + \theta_t\mathrm{div}u)\theta_t \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho\theta\mathrm{div}u_t\theta_t + \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\nabla u + (\nabla u)'\right) : \left(\nabla u_t + (\nabla u_t)'\right)\theta_t + 2\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{div}u\mathrm{div}u_t\theta_t \\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{5} V_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.16}$$

For V_1, using $(2.1)_1$, and integration by parts, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_1 =& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u\cdot\nabla\theta_t \left(\frac{\theta_t}{2} + u\cdot\nabla\theta + \theta\mathrm{div}u\right) - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u\cdot\frac{\nabla\theta_t}{2}\theta_t \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u\cdot\left(\nabla(u\cdot\nabla)\theta + u\cdot\nabla\nabla\theta\right)\theta_t - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u\cdot\left(\nabla\theta\mathrm{div}u + \theta\nabla\mathrm{div}u\right)\theta_t \\
=& \sum_{i=1}^{4} V_{1,i}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.17}$$

For $V_{1,1}$, using Cauchy inequality, Corollary 3.2, and (4.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{1,1} \le& \frac{1}{24}\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|u\|_{L^\infty}^4\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\theta\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2 \\
\le& \frac{1}{24}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.18}$$

For $V_{1,2}$, using Cauchy inequality, and (4.11) again, together with Corollary 3.2, we have

$$V_{1,2} \le \frac{1}{24}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\theta_t|^2. \tag{4.19}$$

Similarly, for $V_{1,3}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{1,3} \le& \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}\left(\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} + \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}\right) \\
\le& \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

For $V_{1,4}$, using integration by parts, (4.11), Corollary 3.2, Hölder inequality, Cauchy inequality, (3.17), (4.2), Sobolev inequality, and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_{1,4} =& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u\cdot\nabla\theta\mathrm{div}u\theta_t - \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho\theta u\cdot\nabla G\theta_t \\
&+ \frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho^2\theta^2 u\cdot\nabla\theta_t + \frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho^2\theta^2\mathrm{div}u\theta_t \\
\le& \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^6} + \bar{C}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\|\rho\|_{L^6} \\
&+ \bar{C}\|\theta\|_{L^6}^2\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}^2\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2} + \bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}^2\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}^2 \\
\le& \frac{1}{24}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.21}$$

Substituting (4.18)-(4.21) into (4.17), we have

$$V_1 \leq \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta|^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.22}$$

For V_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_2 =& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho\dot{u}\cdot\nabla\theta\theta_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot\nabla\theta\theta_t - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u\cdot\nabla\theta_t\theta_t - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\theta_t|^2\mathrm{div}u \\
\leq& \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^3} + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^3} + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2} \\
&+ \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3} \\
\leq& \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^3}^2 + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\leq& \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.23}$$

For V_3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
V_3 =& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho\theta\mathrm{div}\dot{u}\theta_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho\theta\nabla u : (\nabla u)'\theta_t + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho\theta u\cdot\nabla G\theta_t \\
&- \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho^2}{2}\theta^2\mathrm{div}u\theta_t - \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \frac{\rho^2}{2}\theta^2 u\cdot\nabla\theta_t \\
\leq& \bar{C}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\|\rho\|_{L^6} + \bar{C}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6} \\
&+ \bar{C}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla G\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}\|\rho\|_{L^6} + \bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6}\|\theta\|_{L^6}^2\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}^2 \\
&+ \bar{C}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\theta\|_{L^6}^2\|\rho\|_{L^{12}}^2\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2} \\
\leq& \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.24}$$

Similar to (4.24), for V_4 and V_5, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}
V_4 + V_5 \leq& \bar{C}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6} + \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^3|\theta_t| + \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |u|^2|\nabla u|^4 + \frac{1}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 \\
\leq& \frac{3}{32}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^2\|\theta_t\|_{L^6} + \bar{C}\|u\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^4 \\
\leq& \frac{1}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.25}$$

Putting (4.22), (4.23), (4.24), and (4.25) into (4.16), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\theta_t|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta_t|^2 \\
\leq& \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\theta_t|^2 + \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla\theta|^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.26}$$

Integrating (4.26) over $[0,t]$, and using Corollary 3.2, (3.9), (4.2), and (4.11), we get (4.15). □

Proof of Proposition 4.1

Denote

$$F(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\mu|\mathrm{curl}u|^2 + \frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right).$$

By (3.9), Corollary 3.2, and (3.5), we have

$$F \in L^1(0,\infty). \tag{4.27}$$

Moreover, by (3.12), (3.22), Corollary 3.2, and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\frac{d}{dt}F(t)| \leq & \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \bar{C}\|\rho\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6 \\ & + \bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\ \leq & \bar{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.28}$$

where we have used $\Delta u = \nabla \mathrm{div} u - \nabla \times (\mathrm{curl} u)$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u|^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(|\mathrm{div} u|^2 + |\mathrm{curl} u|^2). \tag{4.29}$$

By (4.28), Corollary 3.2, (3.9), and (4.27), we conclude that

$$F \in W^{1,1}(0,\infty),$$

which deduces

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\mathrm{curl} u|^2 + \frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right)(t) = F(t) \to 0, \tag{4.30}$$

as $t \to \infty$.

It follows from Corollary 3.2, (4.15), we can easily get

$$\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2(\cdot) \in W^{1,1}(0,\infty),$$

which deduces

$$\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2(t) \to 0, \tag{4.31}$$

as $t \to \infty$.

By (4.30), (4.31), Corollary 3.2, and (3.5), we get (4.1).

4.2 Decay estimates

Proposition 4.5 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.6, we get*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho\theta^2 + |\nabla u|^2\right) \leq \bar{C}\exp\{-\bar{C}_1 t\}, \tag{4.32}$$

for any $t \in [0,\infty)$, provided

$$C_0 \leq \min\{\varepsilon_0, \tilde{\varepsilon}_0\},$$

for some $\tilde{\varepsilon}_0 > 0$ depending on $\mu, \lambda, \kappa, K_1, K_2, \bar{\rho}$, and some other known constants but independent of t.

Remark 4.6 *The decay rate of $\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}$ is still unknown.*

Proof. By (3.23), (4.29), Corollary 3.2, and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\mathrm{curl} u|^2 + \frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right)\\
\le&\frac{C}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\|\rho\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C}{\mu^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^6 + \frac{C}{(2\mu+\lambda)^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^4\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+ \frac{2\bar{\rho}\kappa^2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \qquad\qquad (4.33)\\
\le&\frac{C\tilde{E}C_0^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C\tilde{E}^2}{\mu^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C\tilde{E}}{(2\mu+\lambda)^4}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{C\kappa^2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\\
=&M_1\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 + M_2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where $M_1 = \left(\frac{C\tilde{E}C_0^{\frac{1}{3}}}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2} + \frac{C\kappa^2}{(2\mu+\lambda)^2}\right)$, and $M_2 = \left(\frac{C\tilde{E}^2}{\mu^2} + \frac{C\tilde{E}}{(2\mu+\lambda)^4}\right)$.

By (3.29), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\kappa\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\theta|^2 \le&\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^2}{\kappa\mu}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^3\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \frac{C}{\kappa}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\theta\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\\
&+ C\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\|\rho\|_{L^6}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.34}$$

Multiplying (4.34) by $\frac{2M_1}{\kappa}$, and using Corollary 3.2, (3.5), and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&2M_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{2M_1}{\kappa}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\theta|^2\\
\le&\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^2\tilde{E}M_1}{\kappa^2\mu}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2} + \frac{CM_1\sqrt{\tilde{E}}}{\kappa^2}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}\\
&+ \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{6}}M_1\sqrt{\tilde{E}}}{\kappa}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \qquad\qquad (4.35)\\
\le&\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^4\tilde{E}^2M_1^2}{\kappa^4\mu^2} + \frac{CM_1^2\tilde{E}}{\kappa^4}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+ \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{6}}M_1\sqrt{\tilde{E}}}{\kappa}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Adding (4.35) into (4.33), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&M_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta|^2 + \frac{2M_1}{\kappa}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\theta|^2 + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\dot{u}|^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\mathrm{curl} u|^2 + \frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right)\\
\le&M_2\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \left(\frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^4\tilde{E}^2M_1^2}{\kappa^4\mu^2} + \frac{CM_1^2\tilde{E}}{\kappa^4}\right)\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{CC_0^{\frac{1}{6}}M_1\sqrt{\tilde{E}}}{\kappa}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\\
\le&M_3\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{M_1}{2}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

provided

$$C_0 \le \min\left\{\varepsilon_0, \frac{\kappa^6}{2^6C^6\tilde{E}^3}\right\}.$$

Here $M_3 = M_2 + \frac{C(2\mu+\lambda)^4\tilde{E}^2M_1^2}{\kappa^4\mu^2} + \frac{CM_1^2\tilde{E}}{\kappa^4}$.

Thus,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{M_1}{2}|\nabla\theta|^2+\frac{1}{2}\rho|\dot{u}|^2\right)+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{2M_1}{\kappa}\rho|\theta|^2+\mu|\mathrm{curl}u|^2+\frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right)\leq M_3\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2. \tag{4.36}$$

Multiplying (3.10) by $\dfrac{2M_3}{\mu}$, and adding the resulting inequality into (4.36), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(M_3|\nabla u|^2+\frac{M_1}{2}|\nabla\theta|^2+\frac{1}{2}\rho|\dot{u}|^2\right)\\&+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{M_3}{\mu}\rho|u|^2+\frac{2M_1}{\kappa}\rho|\theta|^2+\mu|\mathrm{curl}u|^2+\frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right)\\ \leq&\frac{CC_0^{\frac{2}{3}}M_3}{\mu(\mu+\lambda)}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2\leq\frac{M_1}{4}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{4.37}$$

provided

$$C_0\leq\min\left\{\varepsilon_0,\tilde{\varepsilon}_0\right\},$$

where

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_0=\min\left\{\frac{\kappa^6}{2^6C^6\tilde{E}^3},\ \frac{\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}(\mu+\lambda)^{\frac{3}{2}}M_1^{\frac{3}{2}}}{8C^{\frac{3}{2}}M_3^{\frac{3}{2}}}\right\}.$$

By (4.37), together with the facts

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u|^2\leq\|\rho\|_{L^{\frac{3}{2}}}\|u\|_{L^6}^2\leq\bar{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|\theta|^2\leq\bar{C}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathrm{curl}u|^2\leq\bar{C}\|\nabla\mathrm{curl}u\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}^2\leq\bar{C}\|\rho\dot{u}\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}^2\leq\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^3}^2\leq\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|G|^2\leq\bar{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\dot{u}\|_{L^2}^2,$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\bar{C}_1\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{M_3}{\mu}\rho|u|^2+\frac{2M_1}{\kappa}\rho|\theta|^2+\mu|\mathrm{curl}u|^2+\frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right)\\&+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{M_3}{\mu}\rho|u|^2+\frac{2M_1}{\kappa}\rho|\theta|^2+\mu|\mathrm{curl}u|^2+\frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right)\leq0,\end{aligned} \tag{4.38}$$

for some constant $\bar{C}_1>0$ depending on $\mu,\lambda,\kappa,M_1,M_3$ and other known constants but independent of t. (4.38) deduces

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{M_3}{\mu}\rho|u|^2+\frac{2M_1}{\kappa}\rho|\theta|^2+\mu|\mathrm{curl}u|^2+\frac{G^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right)\leq A\exp\{-\bar{C}_1t\}, \tag{4.39}$$

where

$$A=\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{M_3}{\mu}\rho_0|u_0|^2+\frac{2M_1}{\kappa}\rho_0|\theta_0|^2+\mu|\mathrm{curl}u_0|^2+\frac{G_0^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\right).$$

By (4.29) and (4.39), we get (4.32). □

5 Necessary conditions for global strong solution with momentum or energy conservation

In this section, we shall prove Theorem 2.8. Throughout this section, we denote generic constants by $\tilde{C}$ depending on the initial data, $\mu, \lambda, \kappa, C_b$, and some other known constants but independent of t. Theorem 2.8 can be divided into the following two propositions.

The first one is stated as follows.

Proposition 5.1 *Assume that global solution (ρ, u, θ) of (2.1)-(2.3) satisfies mass conservation for all time with initial density $\rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, and is bounded as follows:*

$$0 \le \rho \le C_b,\ \|\nabla u\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C_b,\ \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2(\mathbb{R}^3))} \le C_b, \tag{5.1}$$

for any $(x,t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,\infty)$, and some positive constant C_b independent of x and t. If, in addition, the solution satisfies momentum conservation or energy conservation, i.e.,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 u_0, \tag{5.2}$$

or

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho |u|^2}{2} + \rho\theta \right) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho_0 |u_0|^2}{2} + \rho_0\theta_0 \right), \tag{5.3}$$

for any $t > 0$, then the initial momentum or the initial energy must be zero.

Proof. Using (5.2), and (5.1), together with Hölder inequality and Sobolev inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 u_0 \right|^2 = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho u \right|^2 &\le \|\rho(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}} \|u(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^6} \\ &\le \|\rho\|^{\frac{1}{3}}_{L^\infty} \|\rho(\cdot,t)\|^{\frac{5}{3}}_{L^1} \|u(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^6} \le \tilde{C} \|\nabla u(\cdot,t)\|^2_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.4}$$

Integrating (5.4) w.r.t. t over $[0,T]$ for any $T > 0$, together with (5.1), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 u_0 \right|^2 \le \frac{\tilde{C}}{T}.$$

Let T go to ∞, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0 u_0 = 0.$$

Similarly, if energy is conserved, then

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho_0 |u_0|^2}{2} + \rho_0\theta_0 \right) \right| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho |u|^2}{2} + \rho\theta \right) \right| &\le \|\rho\|_{\frac{3}{2}} \|u\|^2_{L^6} + \|\rho\|_{\frac{6}{5}} \|\theta\|_{L^6} \\ &\le \tilde{C} \|\nabla u\|^2_{L^2} + \tilde{C} \|\nabla \theta\|_{L^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.5}$$

Integrating (5.5) w.r.t. t over $[0,T]$ for any $T > 0$, together with Hölder inequality, and (5.1), we have

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho_0 |u_0|^2}{2} + \rho_0\theta_0 \right) \right| \le \frac{\tilde{C}}{T} + \frac{\tilde{C}}{\sqrt{T}}.$$

Let T go to ∞, we get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \left(\frac{\rho_0 |u_0|^2}{2} + \rho_0\theta_0 \right) = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

□

The second proposition is stated as follows.

Proposition 5.2 *For some global strong solution (ρ, u, θ) of (2.1)-(2.3) satisfying (5.1) with initial density $\rho_0 \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^3)$, if (5.3) is satisfied for all time, then*

$$(\rho, u, \theta) = (\rho_0, 0, 0),$$

for any $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0, \infty)$.

Proof. Since $\rho_0 \in L^1$, by Lemma 3.4, we get $\rho(\cdot, t) \in L^1$ for all time, and

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \rho_0, \tag{5.7}$$

for any $t \in [0, \infty)$.

By (5.3) and (5.6), we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{\rho|u|^2}{2} + \rho\theta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\frac{\rho_0|u_0|^2}{2} + \rho_0\theta_0) = 0.$$

Since

$$\rho|u|^2 \geq 0, \ \ \rho\theta \geq 0,$$

we have

$$\rho|u|^2 = \rho\theta \equiv 0. \tag{5.8}$$

Substituting (5.8) into $(2.1)_2$, multiplying the result by u, and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla u|^2 = 0,$$

which together with fact $u(\cdot, t) \in L^6(\mathbb{R}^3)$ gives

$$u \equiv 0. \tag{5.9}$$

Putting (5.8) and (5.9) into $(2.1)_1$ and $(2.1)_3$, we have

$$\rho = \rho_0, \ \ \theta \equiv 0.$$

□

6 Global classical solution

The proof of local existence and uniqueness of the classical solution as in Theorem 2.12 can be found in Section 7 (see Appendix B below). Let $T_1^* > 0$ be the maximal time for the existence of the classical solution. Our aim is to prove $T_1^* = \infty$. To do this, we use contradiction arguments similar to Section 3.

More precisely, we assume that $0 < T_1^* < \infty$. In this section, we denote generic constants by $\check{C}$ depending on the initial data, μ, λ, κ, T_1^* and some other known constants but independent of $t \in [0, T_1^*)$. In this case, we shall prove

$$\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2 \cap W^{2,q}} \leq \check{C}, \tag{6.1}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_1^*)$, and

$$\|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1} \leq \check{C}, \tag{6.2}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_1^*)$, and

$$\|\sqrt{\rho} u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \le \check{C}, \tag{6.3}$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T_1^*)$. With (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3), we can define a new initial data at T_1^*

$$\big(\rho(\cdot, T_1^*), u(\cdot, T_1^*), \theta(\cdot, T_1^*)\big) = \lim_{t \nearrow T_1^*} \big(\rho(\cdot, t), u(\cdot, t), \theta(\cdot, t)\big), \tag{6.4}$$

which satisfies the conditions of Appendix B. This means that the life span of the classical solutions beyond T_1^*, which is the desired contradiction.

To get (6.1), (6.2) and (6.3), we begin with the following lemma which is essentially obtained in Section 3.

Lemma 6.1 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.12, it holds that*

$$\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1 \cap W^{1,q}} + \|\rho_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2 \cap L^q} \le \check{C}, \tag{6.5}$$

$$\|u(\cdot, t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla\theta(\cdot, t)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2([0,t];L^q)} + \|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2([0,t];L^q)} \le \check{C}, \tag{6.6}$$

$$\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)} + \|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)} + \|\sqrt{\rho} u_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho}\theta_t(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2} \le \check{C}, \tag{6.7}$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T_1^*)$.

From Lemma 6.1, (6.2) and (6.3) have been obtained. What we need to do is to get (6.1).

Lemma 6.2 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.12, it holds that*

$$\|\rho(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2} + \int_0^t \|\nabla u(\cdot, t)\|_{H^2}^2 \, dt \le \check{C}, \tag{6.8}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_1^*)$.

Proof. Taking ∇^2 on both sides of $(2.1)_1$, we have

$$\nabla^2\rho_t + 2\nabla u \cdot \nabla\nabla\rho + u \cdot \nabla\nabla^2\rho + \nabla^2 u \cdot \nabla\rho + \nabla^2\rho \mathrm{div} u + 2\nabla\rho\nabla \mathrm{div} u + \rho\nabla^2 \mathrm{div} u = 0. \tag{6.9}$$

Multiplying (6.9) by $\nabla^2\rho$, integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, and using Cauchy inequality, Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality and (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2\rho|^2 \le& \check{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2\rho|^2 + \check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2\rho||\nabla^2 u||\nabla\rho| + \check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2\rho||\nabla^3 u| \\
\le& \check{C}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2\rho|^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^6}^2 \|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3}^2 + \check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^3 u|^2 \\
\le& \check{C}(\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1)\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^2\rho|^2 + \check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\nabla^3 u|^2.
\end{aligned} \tag{6.10}$$

By $(2.1)_2$ and the standard elliptic estimates, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}^2 \le& \check{C}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3}^2 \|u_t\|_{L^6}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla u\|_{L^6}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^4}^4 \\
&+ \check{C}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3}^2 \|\nabla\theta\|_{L^6}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla^2\theta\|_{L^2}^2 \\
\le& \check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C},
\end{aligned} \tag{6.11}$$

where we have used Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (6.5) and (6.6).

Substituting (6.11) into (6.10), and using (6.5), (6.6) and Sobolev inequality again, together with (6.7) and Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\|\rho(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2} \le \check{C}, \tag{6.12}$$

for any $t \in [0, T_1^*)$. By (6.6), (6.7), (6.11), (6.12), we get

$$\int_0^t \|\nabla u(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2}^2 dt \le \check{C},$$

for any $t \in [0, T_1^*)$. This completes the proof of Lemma 6.2. □

Corollary 6.3 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.12, it holds that*

$$\|\rho_t(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1} + \int_0^t \|\rho_{ss}\|_{L^2}^2 \le \check{C}, \tag{6.13}$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T_1^*)$.

Proof. Taking ∇ on both sides of $(2.1)_1$, we have

$$\nabla\rho_t = -\nabla(\rho \mathrm{div} u + u\cdot\nabla\rho) = -\nabla\rho \mathrm{div} u - \rho\nabla \mathrm{div} u - \nabla u\cdot\nabla\rho - u\cdot\nabla\nabla\rho.$$

This, together with (6.5), Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (6.6) and (6.8), deduces

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_t(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1} =&\|\rho_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla\rho_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\check{C} + \check{C}\|\nabla\rho(\cdot,t)\|_{L^3}\|\mathrm{div} u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^6} + \check{C}\|\rho(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla \mathrm{div} u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}\\ &+ \check{C}\|\nabla u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\rho(\cdot,t)\|_{L^3} + \check{C}\|u(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^2\rho(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\check{C}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.14}$$

Using $(2.1)_1$ again, similar to (6.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2} =&\|(\rho \mathrm{div} u + u\cdot\nabla\rho)_t\|_{L^2} = \|\rho_t \mathrm{div} u + \rho \mathrm{div} u_t + u_t\cdot\nabla\rho + u\cdot\nabla\rho_t\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\|\rho_t\|_{L^6}\|\mathrm{div} u\|_{L^3} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathrm{div} u_t\|_{L^2} + \|u_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3} + \|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\rho_t\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2} + \check{C}.\end{aligned} \tag{6.15}$$

By (6.15) and (6.7), we get

$$\int_0^t \|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2 \le \check{C}.$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T_1^*)$. The proof of Corollary 6.3 is complete. □

Lemma 6.4 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.12, it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t|\nabla u_t|^2 + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s\rho|u_{ss}|^2 \le \check{C}, \tag{6.16}$$

for a.e. $t \in [0, T_1^*)$.

Proof. Differentiating $(2.1)_2$ w.r.t. t, we have

$$\rho u_{tt} + \rho_t u_t + \rho_t u\cdot\nabla u + \rho u_t\cdot\nabla u + \rho u\cdot\nabla u_t + \nabla P_t = \mu\Delta u_t + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla \mathrm{div} u_t. \tag{6.17}$$

Multiplying (6.17) by u_{tt}, integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u_{tt}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u_t|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u_t|^2\right)\\
&=-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho_tu_t+\rho_tu\cdot\nabla u)\cdot u_{tt}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho u_t\cdot\nabla u+\rho u\cdot\nabla u_t)\cdot u_{tt}-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla P_t\cdot u_{tt}\\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{3}VI_i.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.18}$$

For VI_1, using $(2.1)_1$, integration by parts, Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (6.5), (6.6), (6.7) and (6.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
VI_1=&-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_t|u_t|^2+\rho_t(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_t\right)+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}|u_t|^2\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho_{tt}u\cdot\nabla u+\rho_tu_t\cdot\nabla u+\rho_tu\cdot\nabla u_t)\cdot u_t\\
\le&-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_t|u_t|^2+\rho_t(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_t\right)+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho_tu+\rho u_t)\cdot\nabla u_t\cdot u_t\\
&+\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|u_t\|_{L^6}+\|\rho_t\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}\\
&+\|\rho_t\|_{L^6}\|u\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^6}\\
\le&-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_t|u_t|^2+\rho_t(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_t\right)+\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\|u_t\|_{L^6}\\
&+\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|u_t\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2\\
\le&-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_t|u_t|^2+\rho_t(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_t\right)+\check{C}(\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^2u_t\|_{L^2})\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\\
&+\check{C}\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.19}$$

For VI_2, using Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (6.5) and (6.6) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
VI_2\le&\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u_{tt}|^2+\check{C}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u_t\|_{L^6}^2\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}^2+\check{C}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{L^\infty}^2\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2\\
\le&\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u_{tt}|^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.20}$$

For VI_3, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
VI_3=&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_t\mathrm{div}u_t-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_{tt}\mathrm{div}u_t\\
=&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_t\mathrm{div}u_t-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_{tt}G_t-\frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_t^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.21}$$

By $(2.1)_1$ and $(2.1)_3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
P_{tt}=&-\mathrm{div}(\rho\theta u)_t-(\rho\theta\mathrm{div}u)_t+\mu\left(\nabla u+(\nabla u)'\right):\left(\nabla u_t+(\nabla u_t)'\right)\\
&+2\lambda(\mathrm{div}u)\mathrm{div}u_t+\kappa\Delta\theta_t.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this equality into the second term of the right side of (6.21), and using integration by parts, Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (6.5), (6.6) and (6.7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_{tt}G_t =& -\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho\theta u)_t\cdot\nabla G_t+\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}G_t(\rho\theta\mathrm{div}u)_t\\
&-\frac{\mu}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}G_t\left(\nabla u+(\nabla u)'\right):\left(\nabla u_t+(\nabla u_t)'\right)\\
&-\frac{2\lambda}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}G_t(\mathrm{div}u)\mathrm{div}u_t+\frac{\kappa}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\nabla G_t\cdot\nabla\theta_t\\
\le&\check{C}\|(\rho\theta u)_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla G_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|G_t\|_{L^2}\|\rho\theta\mathrm{div}u_t\|_{L^2}\\
&+\check{C}\|G_t\|_{L^6}\|\rho\theta_t\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}+\check{C}\|G_t\|_{L^6}\|\rho_t\theta\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^{\frac{6}{5}}}\\
&+\check{C}\|G_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla G_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}\\
\le&\check{C}\|\nabla G_t\|_{L^2}(\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}+1)+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.22}$$

It follows from (3.15) that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla G_t\|_{L^2}\le&\check{C}\|(\rho u_t+\rho u\cdot\nabla u)_t\|_{L^2}\\
\le&\check{C}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|u_t\|_{L^6}+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}\\
&+\check{C}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}+\check{C}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}\\
\le&\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}+\check{C},
\end{aligned}\tag{6.23}$$

where we have used Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (6.5) and (6.6).

Substituting (6.23) into (6.22), and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_{tt}G_t\le\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u_{tt}|^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}.\tag{6.24}$$

Putting (6.19), (6.20), (6.21) and (6.24) into (6.18), and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho|u_{tt}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u_t|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u_t|^2\right)\\
\le&-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_t|u_t|^2+\rho_t(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_t\right)+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_t\mathrm{div}u_t-\frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P_t^2\\
&+\epsilon\|\nabla^2u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}_\epsilon\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C},
\end{aligned}\tag{6.25}$$

for $\epsilon>0$ to be decided later.

By (6.17) and the elliptic estimates, together with Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (6.5), (6.6) and (6.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla^2u_t(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}\le&\check{C}\|\rho u_{tt}\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho_tu_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho_tu\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho u_t\cdot\nabla u\|_{L^2}\\
&+\check{C}\|\rho u\cdot\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla\rho_t\theta+\rho_t\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla\rho\theta_t+\rho\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}\\
\le&\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|u_t\|_{L^6}+\check{C}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^6}\\
&+\check{C}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}+\check{C}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla\rho_t\|_{L^2}\|\theta\|_{L^\infty}\\
&+\check{C}\|\rho_t\|_{L^3}\|\nabla\theta\|_{L^6}+\check{C}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t\|_{L^6}+\check{C}\|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}\\
\le&\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho}u_{tt}\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.26}$$

Substituting (6.26) into (6.25), taking ϵ sufficiently small, and then multiplying the result by

t, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\rho|u_{tt}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\left(\mu|\nabla u_t|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u_t|^2\right)\\
\le&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u_t|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u_t|^2\right)-\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_t|u_t|^2+\rho_t(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_t\right)\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\frac{1}{2}\rho_t|u_t|^2+\rho_t(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_t\right)+\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} tP_t\mathrm{div}u_t-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_t\mathrm{div}u_t\\
&-\frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} tP_t^2+\frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_t^2+\check{C}t\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}t\|\rho_{tt}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\check{C}t\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}.
\end{aligned}\tag{6.27}$$

Integrating (6.27) over $[0,t]$ for $t\in[0,T_1^*)$, and using $(2.1)_1$, integration by parts, (6.5), (6.6), (6.7), (6.13), Cauchy inequality and Hölder inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{4}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s\rho|u_{ss}|^2+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\left(\mu|\nabla u_t|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u_t|^2\right)\\
\le&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\Big(\rho u\cdot\nabla u_t\cdot u_t+\rho_t(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_t\Big)+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\rho u\cdot\nabla u_s\cdot u_s+\rho_s(u\cdot\nabla)u\cdot u_s\right)\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} tP_t\mathrm{div}u_t-\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_s\mathrm{div}u_s+\frac{1}{2(2\mu+\lambda)}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} P_s^2+\check{C}\\
\le&\frac{\mu t}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_t|^2+\check{C}t\|\rho_t\|_{L^2}\|u\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u\|_{L^3}\|u_t\|_{L^6}+\check{C}\\
\le&\frac{\mu t}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_t|^2+\check{C}.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives (6.16). □

Corollary 6.5 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.12, it holds that*

$$t\|\nabla u(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2}^2+\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s|\nabla^2 u_s|^2\le\check{C},\tag{6.28}$$

for a.e. $t\in[0,T_1^*)$.

Proof. By (6.11), (6.12), (6.16) and (6.6), we have

$$t\|\nabla u(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2}^2\le\check{C},\tag{6.29}$$

for a.e $t\in[0,T_1^*)$.

By (6.26), (6.7) and (6.16), we get

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s|\nabla^2 u_s|^2\le\check{C},$$

for a.e. $t\in[0,T_1^*)$. □

Lemma 6.6 *Under the conditions of Theorem 2.12, it holds that*

$$\|\nabla^2\rho(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q}+\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta(\cdot,s)\|_{H^2}^2ds\le\check{C},\tag{6.30}$$

for any $t\in[0,T_1^*)$.

Proof. Multiplying (6.9) by $q|\nabla^2\rho|^{q-2}\nabla^2\rho$, integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, and using Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality and (6.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\rho|^q \le&\check{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\rho|^q+\check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^q}^{q-1}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{W^{1,q}}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^q}\\&+\check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^q}^{q-1}\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^q}\\ \le&\check{C}\|\nabla u\|_{H^2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\rho|^q+\check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^q}^{q-1}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{W^{1,q}}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.31}$$

By $(2.1)_2$, $(2.1)_3$ and the elliptic estimates, together with Sobolev inequality, (6.5), (6.6), (6.7), Hölder inequality and Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^2 u\|_{W^{1,q}}\le&\check{C}\|\rho u_t\|_{W^{1,q}}+\check{C}\|\rho u\cdot\nabla u\|_{W^{1,q}}+\check{C}\|\nabla(\rho\theta)\|_{W^{1,q}}\\ \le&\check{C}\|\nabla(\rho u_t)\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla(\rho u_t)\|_{L^q}+\check{C}\|\nabla(\rho u\cdot\nabla u)\|_{L^q}+\check{C}\|\nabla^2(\rho\theta)\|_{L^q}+\check{C}\\ \le&\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^q}+\check{C}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}\\&+\check{C}\|\nabla\rho\|_{L^\infty}+\check{C}\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty}+\check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^q}+\check{C}\|\nabla^3\theta\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\\ \le&\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}}\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}}+\check{C}(\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^q}+1)\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}\\&+\check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho\|_{L^q}+\check{C}\|\nabla^3\theta\|_{L^2}+\check{C},\end{aligned}\tag{6.32}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^3\theta\|_{L^2}\le&\check{C}\|\nabla\rho\theta_t+\rho\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla\rho u\cdot\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho\nabla u\cdot\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho u\cdot\nabla\nabla\theta\|_{L^2}\\&+\check{C}\|\nabla\rho\theta\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho\nabla\theta\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho\theta\nabla\mathrm{div}u\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla u\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}+\check{C}.\end{aligned}\tag{6.33}$$

Substituting (6.32) and (6.33) into (6.31), and using Young inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\rho|^q\le&\check{C}(\|\nabla^3 u\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla\theta_t\|_{L^2}+1)\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\rho|^q+1\right)\\&+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}}\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla^2\rho|^q+1\right).\end{aligned}\tag{6.34}$$

It is easy to see

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{6-q}{2q}}\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2}^{\frac{3q-6}{2q}}=&\left(t\|\nabla u_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{6-q}{4q}}t^{-\frac{6-q}{4q}}\left(t\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{3q-6}{4q}}t^{-\frac{3q-6}{4q}}\\ \le&\check{C}t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(t\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2}^2\right)^{\frac{3q-6}{4q}}\\ \le&\check{C}t^{-\frac{2q}{q+6}}+\check{C}t\|\nabla^2 u_t\|_{L^2}^2\in L^1([0,T]),\end{aligned}\tag{6.35}$$

where we have used (6.16), (6.28), Young inequality and $q<6$.

By (6.7), (6.8), (6.35) and Gronwall inequality, we have

$$\|\nabla^2\rho(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q}\le\check{C},\tag{6.36}$$

for any $t\in[0,T_1^*)$. By (6.33), (6.7) and (6.8), we get

$$\int_0^t\|\nabla\theta(\cdot,s)\|_{H^2}^2ds\le\check{C},$$

for any $t\in[0,T_1^*)$. □

7 Appendix

Appendix A (Zlotnik inequality)

Let the function y *satisfy*

$$y'(t) = g(y) + b'(t) \text{ on } [0,T],\ y(0) = y^0,$$

with $g \in C(\mathbb{R})$ *and* $y, b \in W^{1,1}(0,T)$*. If* $g(\infty) = -\infty$ *and*

$$b(t_2) - b(t_1) \le N_0 + N_1(t_2 - t_1)$$

for all $0 \le t_1 < t_2 \le T$ *with some* $N_0 \ge 0$ *and* $N_1 \ge 0$*, then*

$$y(t) \le \max\{y^0, \bar{\zeta}\} + N_0 < \infty \text{ on } [0,T],$$

where $\bar{\zeta}$ *is a constant such that*

$$g(\zeta) \le -N_1, \text{ for } \zeta \ge \bar{\zeta}.$$

Appendix B (Local classical solution) *Assume that the initial data* (ρ_0, u_0, θ_0) *satisfies*

$$\rho_0 \ge 0,\ \theta_0 \ge 0,\ in\ \mathbb{R}^3,\ \rho_0 \in H^2 \cap W^{2,q},\ u_0 \in D^2 \cap D^1_0,\ \theta_0 \in D^2 \cap D^1_0, \tag{7.1}$$

for some $q \in (3,6)$*, and the compatibility conditions*

$$\begin{cases} \mu\Delta u_0 + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla \mathrm{div} u_0 - \nabla P(\rho_0, \theta_0) = \sqrt{\rho_0} g_1, \\ \kappa\Delta\theta_0 + \frac{\mu}{2}\left|\nabla u_0 + (\nabla u_0)'\right|^2 + \lambda(\mathrm{div} u_0)^2 = \sqrt{\rho_0} g_2,\ x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \tag{7.2}$$

for some $g_i \in L^2$*,* $i = 1,2$*. Then there exist a positive constant* $T_0 > 0$ *and a unique classical solution* (ρ, u, θ) *in* $\mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T_0]$ *such that*

$$\begin{aligned} &\rho \in C([0,T_0]; H^2 \cap W^{2,q}),\ \rho_t \in C([0,T_0]; H^1),\ \rho \ge 0,\ \theta \ge 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^3 \times [0,T_0], \\ &(u,\theta) \in C([0,T_0]; D^2 \cap D^1_0) \cap L^2([0,T_0]; D^3),\ (u_t, \theta_t) \in L^2([0,T_0]; D^1_0), \\ &(\sqrt{\rho} u_t, \sqrt{\rho}\theta_t) \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; L^2),\ \sqrt{t}\sqrt{\rho} u_{tt} \in L^2([0,T_0]; L^2),\ t\sqrt{\rho} u_{tt} \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; L^2), \\ &\sqrt{t} u \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; D^3),\ \sqrt{t} u_t \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; D^1_0) \cap L^2([0,T_0]; D^2), \\ &t u \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; D^{3,q}),\ t u_t \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; D^2),\ t u_{tt} \in L^2([0,T_0]; D^1_0), \\ &t\theta \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; D^3) \cap L^2([0,T_0]; D^4),\ t\theta_t \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; D^1_0) \cap L^2([0,T_0]; D^2), \\ &t^{\frac{3}{2}}\theta \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; D^4),\ t^{\frac{3}{2}}\theta_t \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; D^2),\ t\sqrt{\rho}\theta_{tt} \in L^2([0,T_0]; L^2), \\ &t^{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{\rho}\theta_{tt} \in L^\infty([0,T_0]; L^2),\ t^{\frac{3}{2}}\theta_{tt} \in L^2([0,T_0]; D^1_0). \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

Proof of Appendix B:

Using some arguments similar to [2, 3], we can construct a sequence of approximate classical solutions (ρ^k, u^k, θ^k) to (2.1)-(2.3) satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \rho^k_t + \nabla \cdot (\rho^k u^{k-1}) = 0, \\ \rho^k u^k_t + \rho^k u^{k-1} \cdot \nabla u^k + \nabla P(\rho^k, \theta^k) = \mu\Delta u^k + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla \mathrm{div} u^k, \\ \rho^k \theta^k_t + \rho^k u^{k-1} \cdot \nabla\theta^k + \rho^k\theta^k \mathrm{div} u^{k-1} \\ = \dfrac{\mu}{2}\left|\nabla u^{k-1} + (\nabla u^{k-1})'\right|^2 + \lambda(\mathrm{div} u^{k-1})^2 + \kappa\Delta\theta^k, \end{cases} \tag{7.4}$$

with initial conditions

$$(\rho^k, u^k, \theta^k)|_{t=0} = (\rho_0^\delta, u_0, \theta_0), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \tag{7.5}$$

and

$$(\rho^k, u^k, \theta^k) \to (\delta, 0, 0) \text{ as } |x| \to \infty, \text{ for } t \geq 0, \tag{7.6}$$

where $\rho_0^\delta = \rho_0 + \delta$ for $\delta \in (0,1)$, and $k \geq 1$. Here we take $(u^0, \theta^0) = (u_0, \theta_0)$.

From the compatibility condition (7.2), we obtain

$$\begin{cases} \mu\Delta u_0 + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla \mathrm{div} u_0 - \nabla P(\rho_0^\delta, \theta_0) = \sqrt{\rho_0^\delta} g_1^\delta, \\ \kappa\Delta\theta_0 + \frac{\mu}{2}\left|\nabla u_0 + (\nabla u_0)'\right|^2 + \lambda(\mathrm{div} u_0)^2 = \sqrt{\rho_0^\delta} g_2^\delta, \end{cases} \tag{7.7}$$

where

$$g_1^\delta = \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0^\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} g_1 - \frac{\delta}{\sqrt{\rho_0^\delta}}\nabla\theta_0, \text{ and } g_2^\delta = \left(\frac{\rho_0}{\rho_0^\delta}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} g_2.$$

It is easy to verify

$$\|g_1^\delta\|_{L^2} \leq \|g_1\|_{L^2} + \sqrt{\delta}\|\nabla\theta_0\|_{L^2}, \text{ and } \|g_2^\delta\|_{L^2} \leq \|g_2\|_{L^2}. \tag{7.8}$$

Step 1: Some estimates.

From [3] together with (7.4)-(7.8), we get the following lemma.

Lemma 7.1 *Under the condition of (7.1), (7.7) and (7.8), there exists a constant* $T_0 \in (0,1)$ *independent of* k *and* δ*, such that*

$$\rho^k > 0, \ \|\rho^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\rho^k(\cdot,t) - \delta\|_{H^1\cap W^{1,q}} + \|\rho_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2\cap L^q} \leq \check{C}, \tag{7.9}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|u^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla u^k(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla\theta^k(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla^2 u^k\|_{L^2([0,t];L^q)} \\ &+ \|\nabla^2\theta^k\|_{L^2([0,t];L^q)} \leq \check{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.10}$$

$$\|\nabla u_t^k\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)} + \|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)} + \|\sqrt{\rho^k}u_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} \leq \check{C}, \tag{7.11}$$

for any $k \geq 1$ *and a.e.* $t \in [0, T_0]$*, where* $\check{C}$ *is independent of* k*,* δ *and* t*. Furthermore,* $\theta^k \geq 0$*.*[1]

Based on *Lemma 7.1*[2], we derive the next lemma by using some arguments similar to Lemmas 6.2, 6.4 and 6.6, and Corollaries 6.3 and 6.5.

Lemma 7.2 *Under the condition of (7.1), (7.7) and (7.8), it holds that*

$$\begin{cases} \sqrt{t}\|\nabla u^k(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2} + \sqrt{t}\|\nabla u_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + \|\rho_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1} + \|\rho^k(\cdot,t) - \delta\|_{H^2\cap W^{2,q}} \leq \check{C}, \\ \displaystyle\int_0^{T_0} \left(\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u^k\|_{H^2}^2 + t\|\sqrt{\rho^k}u_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2 + t\|\nabla^2 u_t^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla\theta^k\|_{H^2}^2\right)(\cdot,t)dt \leq \check{C}, \end{cases} \tag{7.12}$$

for any $k \geq 1$ *and a.e.* $t \in [0, T_0]$.

---

[1] This can be obtained by using $(7.4)_3$ and the maximal principle for the parabolic equation.

[2] It is similar to Lemma 6.1.

We need some higher order estimates for (ρ^k, u^k, θ^k) which are included in the following lemmas.

Lemma 7.3 *Under the condition of (7.1), (7.7) and (7.8), it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2 \left(|\nabla\theta^k_t|^2 + \rho^k|u^k_{tt}|^2\right) + \int_0^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2 \left(\rho^k|\theta^k_{tt}|^2 + |\nabla u^k_{tt}|^2\right) \le \check{C}, \tag{7.13}$$

for any $k \ge 1$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T_0]$.

Proof. Differentiating $(7.4)_2$ w.r.t. t two times, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho^k u^k_{ttt} + 2\rho^k_t u^k_{tt} + \rho^k_{tt} u^k_t + \rho^k_{tt} u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla u^k + 2\rho^k_t u^{k-1}_t\cdot\nabla u^k + 2\rho^k_t u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla u^k_t +\\ &\rho^k u^{k-1}_{tt}\cdot\nabla u^k + 2\rho^k u^{k-1}_t\cdot\nabla u^k_t + \rho^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla u^k_{tt} + \nabla P^k_{tt} = \mu\Delta u^k_{tt} + (\mu+\lambda)\nabla\mathrm{div} u^k_{tt}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.14}$$

Multiplying (7.14) by u^k_{tt}, integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, and using $(7.4)_1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k|u^k_{tt}|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\mu|\nabla u^k_{tt}|^2 + (\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div} u^k_{tt}|^2\right)\\ =&-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k_t|u^k_{tt}|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k_{tt}u^k_t\cdot u^k_{tt} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k_{tt}u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla u^k\cdot u^k_{tt} - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k_t u^{k-1}_t\cdot\nabla u^k\cdot u^k_{tt}\\ &-2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k_t u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla u^k_t\cdot u^k_{tt} - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k u^{k-1}_{tt}\cdot\nabla u^k\cdot u^k_{tt} - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k u^{k-1}_t\cdot\nabla u^k_t\cdot u^k_{tt}\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}P^k_{tt}\mathrm{div} u^k_{tt} = \sum_{i=1}^{8} VII_i.\end{aligned} \tag{7.15}$$

For VII_1, using $(7.4)_1$ and integration by parts again, together with Cauchy inequality, (7.9) and (7.10), we have

$$VII_1 = -4\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla u^k_{tt}\cdot u^k_{tt} \le \frac{\mu}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u^k_{tt}|^2 + \check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k|u^k_{tt}|^2. \tag{7.16}$$

For VII_2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}VII_2 =& -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^k u^{k-1})_t\cdot\nabla(u^k_t\cdot u^k_{tt})\\ \le&\|\rho^k_t\|_{L^3}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u^k_t\|_{L^2}\|u^k_{tt}\|_{L^6} + \|\rho^k_t\|_{L^3}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|u^k_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^k_{tt}\|_{L^2}\\ &+\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\|_{L^\infty}\|u^{k-1}_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^k_t\|_{L^3}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}u^k_{tt}\|_{L^2} + \|\rho^k u^k_t\|_{L^3}\|u^{k-1}_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^k_{tt}\|_{L^2}\\ \le&\frac{\mu}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u^k_{tt}|^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla u^k_t\|^2_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla u^{k-1}_t\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla u^k_t\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u^k_t\|_{L^6}\\ &+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}u^k_{tt}\|^2_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\rho^k u^k_t\|_{L^2}\|\rho^k u^k_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^{k-1}_t\|^2_{L^2}\\ \le&\frac{\mu}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u^k_{tt}|^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla u^k_t\|^4_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla u^{k-1}_t\|^4_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla u^k_t\|^2_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 u^k_t\|^2_{L^2}\\ &+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}u^k_{tt}\|^2_{L^2} + \check{C},\end{aligned} \tag{7.17}$$

where we have used $(7.4)_1$, integration by parts, Hölder inequality, Cauchy inequality, Sobolev inequality, Gagliardo-Nirenberg inequality, (7.9), (7.10) and (7.11).

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&VII_3 + VII_4 + VII_5\\ \le&\check{C}\|\rho^k_{tt}\|_{L^2}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u^k\|_{L^3}\|u^k_{tt}\|_{L^6} + \check{C}\|\rho^k_t\|_{L^2}\|u^{k-1}_t\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^k\|_{L^6}\|u^k_{tt}\|_{L^6}\\ &+\check{C}\|\rho^k_t\|_{L^2}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^k_t\|_{L^6}\|u^k_{tt}\|_{L^6}\\ \le&\frac{3\mu}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u^k_{tt}|^2 + \check{C}\|\rho^k_{tt}\|^2_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla u^{k-1}_t\|^2_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla^2 u^k_t\|^2_{L^2},\end{aligned} \tag{7.18}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
VII_6+VII_7 \leq&\check{C}\|\rho^k u_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^k\|_{L^3}+\check{C}\|\rho^k u_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u_t^k\|_{L^3}\\
\leq&\frac{\mu}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}|^2+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}u_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 u_t^k\|_{L^2}\\
\leq&\frac{\mu}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}|^2+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}u_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^4+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^k\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2 u_t^k\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.19}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
VII_8 \leq&\frac{\mu}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\rho_{tt}^k\theta^k+2\rho_t^k\theta_t^k+\rho^k\theta_{tt}^k|^2\\
\leq&\frac{\mu}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\rho_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^3}^2\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}^2+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2\\
\leq&\frac{\mu}{16}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\rho_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_t^k|^2+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.20}
$$

Substituting (7.16), (7.17), (7.18), (7.19) and (7.20) into (7.15), and multiplying the result by t^2, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^2\rho^k|u_{tt}^k|^2+\frac{5}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^2\left(\mu|\nabla u_{tt}^k|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\mathrm{div}u_{tt}^k|^2\right)\\
\leq&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t\rho^k|u_{tt}^k|^2+\frac{\mu}{8}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^2|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}|^2+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^2\rho^k|u_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}t^2\|\nabla u_t^k\|_{L^2}^4\\
&+\check{C}t^2\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^4+\check{C}t^2\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}t^2\|\nabla^2 u_t^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}t^2\|\nabla u_t^k\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla^2 u_t^k\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^2|\nabla\theta_t^k|^2+\check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^2\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.21}
$$

Integrating (7.21) over $[0,t]$ for $t\in[0,T_0]$, and using (7.11) and (7.12), for any given $N\in\mathbb{Z}_+$, we have

$$
\max_{1\leq k\leq N}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^2\rho^k|u_{tt}^k|^2+\max_{1\leq k\leq N}\mu\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}s^2|\nabla u_{ss}^k|^2\leq\check{C}\max_{1\leq k\leq N}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}s^2\rho^k|\theta_{ss}^k|^2+\check{C}. \tag{7.22}
$$

Differentiating $(7.4)_3$ w.r.t. t, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\rho^k\theta_{tt}^k+\rho_t^k\theta_t^k+\rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k+\rho^k u_t^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k+\rho^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta_t^k+\rho_t^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\\
&+\rho^k\theta_t^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}+\rho^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}=\mu\left(\nabla u^{k-1}+(\nabla u^{k-1})'\right):\left(\nabla u_t^{k-1}+(\nabla u_t^{k-1})'\right)\\
&+2\lambda\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}+\kappa\Delta\theta_t^k.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.23}
$$

Multiplying (7.23) by θ_{tt}^k, and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2+\frac{\kappa}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_t^k|^2\\
=&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k\theta_t^k\theta_{tt}^k-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_{tt}^k-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k u_t^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_{tt}^k-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta_t^k\theta_{tt}^k\\
&-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k\theta_t^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k-\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k\\
&+\mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\nabla u^{k-1}+(\nabla u^{k-1})'\right):\left(\nabla u_t^{k-1}+(\nabla u_t^{k-1})'\right)\theta_{tt}^k+2\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k\\
=&\sum_{i=1}^{9}VIII_i.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.24}
$$

For $VIII_1$ and $VIII_2$, similar to (6.19), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
VIII_1 =& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k|\theta_t^k|^2+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}(\rho^k u^{k-1})_t\cdot\nabla\theta_t^k\theta_t^k\\
\le& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k|\theta_t^k|^2+\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^3}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\\
&+\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\|_{L^\infty}\|u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\\
\le& -\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k|\theta_t^k|^2+\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla^2u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{7.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
VIII_2 =& -\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_t^k+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_t^k+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k u_t^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_t^k\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta_t^k\theta_t^k\\
\le& -\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_t^k+\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\\
&+\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^2}\|u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^6}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}+\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^6}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\\
\le& -\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_t^k+\check{C}\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}\tag{7.26}$$

Similarly, for the rest terms of the right side of (7.24), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&VIII_3+VIII_4+VIII_6+VIII_7\\
\le&\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\left(\|u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^3}+\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\right)\\
&+\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\left(\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\|\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}+\|\theta^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\right)\\
\le&\frac{1}{2}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{7.27}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
VIII_5 =& -\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_t^k+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_t^k+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k|\theta_t^k|^2\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\\
&+\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\theta_t^k\\
\le& -\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_t^k+\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\\
&+\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}^2\|\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^6}+\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^3}\|\theta^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\\
\le& -\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_t^k+\check{C}\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}\tag{7.28}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
VIII_8 \le&\mu\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\nabla u^{k-1}+(\nabla u^{k-1})'\right):\left(\nabla u_t^{k-1}+(\nabla u_t^{k-1})'\right)\theta_t^k\\
&+\check{C}\|\nabla u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\\
\le&\mu\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\nabla u^{k-1}+(\nabla u^{k-1})'\right):\left(\nabla u_t^{k-1}+(\nabla u_t^{k-1})'\right)\theta_t^k\\
&+\check{C}\|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\left(\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^2u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2},
\end{aligned}\tag{7.29}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}VIII_9 \leq & 2\lambda \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \mathrm{div} u^{k-1} \mathrm{div} u_t^{k-1} \theta_t^k + \check{C}\|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla \theta_t^k\|_{L^2} \\ & + \check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\left(\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^2 u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\right)\|\nabla \theta_t^k\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{7.30}$$

Substituting (7.25), (7.26), (7.27), (7.28), (7.29) and (7.30) into (7.24), and multiplying the result by t^2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2 \rho^k |\theta_{tt}^k|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2 |\nabla \theta_t^k|^2 \\ \leq & \kappa \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t|\nabla \theta_t^k|^2 - \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2 \rho_t^k |\theta_t^k|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\rho_t^k |\theta_t^k|^2 - \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2 \rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot \nabla \theta^k \theta_t^k \\ & + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_t^k - \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2\rho_t^k\theta^k \mathrm{div} u^{k-1}\theta_t^k + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\rho_t^k \theta^k \mathrm{div} u^{k-1}\theta_t^k \\ & + \mu\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2\left(\nabla u^{k-1} + (\nabla u^{k-1})'\right) : \left(\nabla u_t^{k-1} + (\nabla u_t^{k-1})'\right)\theta_t^k \\ & - 2\mu \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\left(\nabla u^{k-1} + (\nabla u^{k-1})'\right) : \left(\nabla u_t^{k-1} + (\nabla u_t^{k-1})'\right)\theta_t^k \\ & + 2\lambda\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2 \mathrm{div} u^{k-1}\mathrm{div} u_t^{k-1}\theta_t^k - 4\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t\mathrm{div} u^{k-1}\mathrm{div} u_t^{k-1}\theta_t^k \\ & + \check{C}\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}t^2\|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}t\|\nabla^2 u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C},\end{aligned} \tag{7.31}$$

where we have used (7.12), (7.13) and Cauchy inequality.

Integrating (7.31) over $[0,t]$ for $t \in [0,T_0]$, and using $(7.4)_1$, integration by parts, Hölder inequality, (7.9), (7.10), (7.11), (7.12) and Cauchy inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s^2\rho^k|\theta_{ss}^k|^2 + \frac{\kappa}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2|\nabla\theta_t^k|^2 \\ \leq & t^2\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + t^2\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^2}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6} \\ & + t^2\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathrm{div} u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6} + 4\mu t^2\|\nabla u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6} \\ & + 2\lambda t^2\|\mathrm{div} u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\mathrm{div} u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6} + \check{C}\int_0^t s^2\|\nabla u_{ss}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta_s^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C} \\ \leq & \frac{\kappa}{4}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2|\nabla\theta_t^k|^2 + \frac{\epsilon}{4}\int_0^t s^2\|\nabla u_{ss}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}_\epsilon,\end{aligned}$$

for $\epsilon > 0$ to be decided later. This gives

$$\max_{1\leq k\leq N}\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s^2\rho^k|\theta_{ss}^k|^2 + \max_{1\leq k\leq N}\kappa\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2|\nabla\theta_t^k|^2 \leq \epsilon \max_{1\leq k\leq N}\int_0^t s^2\|\nabla u_{ss}^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}_\epsilon, \tag{7.32}$$

for any given $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.

Multiplying (7.32) by $2\check{C}$, putting the result into (7.22), and taking $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, we get

$$\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} s^2\left(\rho^k|\theta_{ss}^k|^2 + |\nabla u_{ss}^k|^2\right) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^2\left(|\nabla\theta_t^k|^2 + \rho^k|u_{tt}^k|^2\right) \leq \check{C}, \tag{7.33}$$

for any $k \in [1,N]$ and a.e. $t \in [0,T_0]$. Since N is arbitrary, (7.33) implies (7.13). □

Corollary 7.4 *Under the condition of (7.1), (7.7) and (7.8), it holds that*

$$\begin{cases} t\|\nabla^2 u_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + t\|\nabla^3\theta^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + t\|\nabla^3 u^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q} \le \check{C}, \\ \displaystyle\int_0^{T_0} t^2\left(\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4\theta^k\|_{L^2}^2\right) \le \check{C}, \end{cases} \tag{7.34}$$

for any $k \ge 1$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T_0]$.

Proof. Similar to (6.26), (6.32), (6.33) and (6.35), together with (7.12) and (7.13), we have

$$\begin{aligned} t\|\nabla^2 u_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} \le& \check{C}t\|\sqrt{\rho^k}u_{tt}^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}t\|\nabla u_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}t\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C}t\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C} \\ \le& \check{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.35}$$

and

$$t\|\nabla^3\theta^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} \le \check{C}t\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}t\|\nabla^3 u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C} \le \check{C}, \tag{7.36}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} t\|\nabla^3 u^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q} \le& \check{C}t^{1-\frac{2q}{q+6}} + \check{C}t^2\|\nabla^2 u_t^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}t\|\nabla u_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}t\|\nabla^3 u^k\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \check{C}t\|\nabla^3\theta^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C} \le \check{C}. \end{aligned} \tag{7.37}$$

By (7.23) and the H^2-estimates for the elliptic equation, together with Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (7.9), (7.10) and (7.11), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^2\theta_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} \le& \check{C}\|\rho^k\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^3}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6} + \check{C}\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^3}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^6} \\ &+ \check{C}\|\rho^k\|_{L^\infty}\|u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^3} + \check{C}\|\rho^k\|_{L^\infty}\|u^{k-1}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \check{C}\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^3}\|\theta^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u^{k-1}\|_{L^6} + \check{C}\|\rho^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\|\nabla u^{k-1}\|_{L^3} \\ &+ \check{C}\|\rho^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\theta^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^6} \\ \le& \check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla^2 u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.38}$$

which together with (7.12) and (7.13) deduces

$$\int_0^{T_0} t^2\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2 \le \check{C}. \tag{7.39}$$

Using $(7.4)_3$ and the elliptic estimates, together with Hölder inequality, Sobolev inequality, (7.9), (7.10), (7.11) and (7.12), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla^4\theta^k\|_{L^2} \le& \check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho^k\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla\rho^k\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\rho^k\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla\nabla\rho^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \check{C}\|\nabla\rho^k\nabla u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla\rho^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\rho^k\nabla\nabla u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \check{C}\|\rho^k\nabla u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\nabla\theta^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\rho^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\nabla^2\theta^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla^2\rho^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \check{C}\|\nabla\rho^k\nabla\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla\rho^k\theta^k\nabla\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\rho^k\nabla^2\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \check{C}\|\rho^k\nabla\theta^k\nabla\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\rho^k\theta^k\nabla^2\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla^2 u^{k-1}\nabla^2 u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \check{C}\|\nabla u^{k-1}\nabla^3 u^{k-1}\|_{L^2} \\ \le& \check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla^3\theta^k\|_{L^2} + \check{C}\|\nabla u^{k-1}\|_{H^2}^2 + \check{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.40}$$

which together with (7.12), (7.13), (7.36), (7.39) deduces

$$\int_0^{T_0} t^2\|\nabla^4\theta^k\|_{L^2}^2 \le \check{C}.$$

The proof of Corollary 7.4 is complete. □

Lemma 7.5 *Under the condition of (7.1), (7.7) and (7.8), it holds that*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^3\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2 + \int_0^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^3|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2 \leq \check{C}, \tag{7.41}$$

for any $k \geq 1$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T_0]$.

Proof. Differentiating (7.23) w.r.t. t, multiplying the result by θ_{tt}^k, and integrating by parts over $\mathbb{R}^3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2 + \kappa\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2 \\
&= -2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2 - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}^k\theta_t^k\theta_{tt}^k - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_{tt}^k - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k u_t^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_{tt}^k \\
&- 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k u^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta_t^k\theta_{tt}^k - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k u_{tt}^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta^k\theta_{tt}^k - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k u_t^{k-1}\cdot\nabla\theta_t^k\theta_{tt}^k \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_{tt}^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k\theta_t^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho_t^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k \\
&- \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k\theta_{tt}^k\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k - 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k\theta_t^k\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k\theta^k\mathrm{div}u_{tt}^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k \\
&+ \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left(\nabla u^{k-1} + (\nabla u^{k-1})'\right) : \left(\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1} + (\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1})'\right)\theta_{tt}^k \\
&+ \mu\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\left|\nabla u_t^{k-1} + (\nabla u_t^{k-1})'\right|^2\theta_{tt}^k + 2\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\mathrm{div}u_{tt}^{k-1}\theta_{tt}^k + 2\lambda\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}|^2\theta_{tt}^k \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{17} VIV_i.
\end{aligned} \tag{7.42}$$

From (7.16), (7.17), (7.18) and (7.19) with u^k replaced by θ^k, we obtain

$$VIV_1 \leq \frac{\kappa}{12}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2 + \check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2, \tag{7.43}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
VIV_2 \leq& \frac{\kappa}{12}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2} \\
&+ \check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^4,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.44}$$

and

$$VIV_3 + VIV_4 + VIV_5 \leq \frac{\kappa}{12}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2 + \check{C}\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{7.45}$$

and

$$VIV_6 + VIV_7 \leq \check{C}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}|^2 + \check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}. \tag{7.46}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
&VIV_8 + VIV_9 + VIV_{10} \\
\leq& \|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^6} + 2\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}\|\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^6} \\
&+ 2\|\rho_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta^k\|_{L^6}\|\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^6}\|\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^6} \\
\leq& \frac{\kappa}{12}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2 + \check{C}\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2 + \check{C}\|\nabla^2 u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{7.47}$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&VIV_{11}+VIV_{12}+VIV_{13}\\
\leq&\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|\mathrm{div}u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^6}+2\|\rho^k\theta_t^k\|_{L^3}\|\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^6}\\
&+\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\|_{L^\infty}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|\theta^k\|_{L^\infty}\|\mathrm{div}u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\\
\leq&\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\|\rho^k\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\rho^k\theta_t^k\|_{L^6}^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\mathrm{div}u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\\
&+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}\|\mathrm{div}u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\\
\leq&\frac{\kappa}{12}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.48}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&VIV_{14}+VIV_{15}+VIV_{16}+VIV_{17}\\
\leq&\check{C}\|\nabla u^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^6}+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^3}\|\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^6}\\
\leq&\frac{\kappa}{12}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.49}
$$

Substituting (7.43), (7.44), (7.45), (7.46), (7.47), (7.48) and (7.49) into (7.42), and using Cauchy inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2+\frac{\kappa}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2\\
\leq&\check{C}\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^4+\check{C}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}\\
&+\check{C}\|\rho_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k\|_{L^2}^2+\check{C}\|\nabla u_{tt}^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^2\\
&+\check{C}\|\nabla^2u_t^{k-1}\|_{L^2}^4+\check{C}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.50}
$$

Multiplying (7.50) by t^3, integrating the result over $[0,t]$ for $t\in[0,T_0]$, and using (7.12), (7.13), (7.34), we have

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^3\rho^k|\theta_{tt}^k|^2+\int_0^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}t^3|\nabla\theta_{tt}^k|^2\leq\check{C}.
$$

The proof of Lemma 7.5 is complete. □

Corollary 7.6 *Under the condition of (7.1), (7.7) and (7.8), it holds that*

$$
t^3\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}^2+t^3\|\nabla^4\theta^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}^2\leq\check{C},
\tag{7.51}
$$

for any $k\geq1$ and a.e. $t\in[0,T_0]$.

Proof. It follows from (7.38), (7.12), (7.13), (7.34), (7.41) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}\leq&\check{C}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\sqrt{\rho^k}\theta_{tt}^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}+\check{C}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla\theta_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}\\
&+\check{C}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla u_t^{k-1}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}+\check{C}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla^2u_t^{k-1}(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}+\check{C}\\
\leq&\check{C}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.52}
$$

By (7.40), (7.12), (7.13), (7.34) and (7.52), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla^4\theta^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}\leq&\check{C}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla\theta_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}+\check{C}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla^2\theta_t^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}\\
&+\check{C}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla^3\theta^k(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}+\check{C}t^{\frac{3}{2}}\|\nabla u^{k-1}(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2}^2+\check{C}\\
\leq&\check{C}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{7.53}
$$

□

Step 2: Completion of proof of Appendix B.

Using some arguments similar to [3], we obtain that the full sequence (ρ^k, u^k, θ^k) converges to a limit $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, \theta^\delta)$ for any given $\delta \in (0,1)$ in the following strong sense:

$$\begin{cases} \rho^k \to \rho^\delta \text{ in } L^\infty([0,T_0];L^2), \text{ as } k \to \infty, \\ (u^k,\theta^k) \to (u^\delta,\theta^\delta) \text{ in } L^2([0,T_0];D_0^1), \text{ as } k \to \infty, \end{cases}$$

and $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, \theta^\delta)$ is the unique solution to (2.1)-(2.2) with initial data replaced by $(\rho_0^\delta, u_0, \theta_0)$, where $\rho^\delta > 0$ and $\theta^\delta \geq 0$. With Lemmas 7.1, 7.2, 7.3 and 7.5, and Corollaries 7.4 and 7.6, and the lower semi-continuity of the norms, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\rho^\delta(\cdot,t)-\delta\|_{H^2\cap W^{2,q}} + \|\rho_t^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla u^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1} + \\ &\|\nabla\theta^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla^3 u^\delta\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)} + \|\nabla^3\theta^\delta\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)} \leq \check{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.54}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\sqrt{\rho^\delta}u_t^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + \|\sqrt{\rho^\delta}\theta_t^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla u_t^\delta\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)} + \\ &\|\nabla\theta_t^\delta\|_{L^2([0,t];L^2)} \leq \check{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.55}$$

$$\sqrt{t}\|\nabla u^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{H^2} + \sqrt{t}\|\nabla u_t^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + \int_0^{T_0} \left(t\|\sqrt{\rho^\delta}u_{tt}^\delta\|_{L^2}^2 + t\|\nabla^2 u_t^\delta\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt \leq \check{C}, \tag{7.56}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &t\|\nabla^2 u_t^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + t\|\nabla^3\theta^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + t\|\nabla^3 u^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^q} + t\|\nabla\theta_t^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} + t\|\sqrt{\rho^\delta}u_{tt}^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2} \\ &+ \int_0^{T_0} t^2 \left(\|\nabla^2\theta_t^\delta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^4\theta^\delta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho^\delta}\theta_{tt}^\delta\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla u_{tt}^\delta\|_{L^2}^2 \right) dt \leq \check{C}, \end{aligned} \tag{7.57}$$

and

$$t^3\|\nabla^2\theta_t^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}^2 + t^3\|\nabla^4\theta^\delta(\cdot,t)\|_{L^2}^2 + t^3\|\sqrt{\rho^\delta}\theta_{tt}^\delta\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^{T_0}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} t^3|\nabla\theta_{tt}^\delta|^2\,dx\,dt \leq \check{C}. \tag{7.58}$$

By (7.54), (7.55), (7.56), (7.57), (7.58), we pass $(\rho^\delta, u^\delta, \theta^\delta)$ to a limit (ρ, u, θ) (take subsequence if necessary) which is the unique solution to (2.1)-(2.3). By the lower semi-continuity of the norms and some arguments which are concerned with the time-continuity of the solutions as in [2, 3] and references therein, we get (7.3).

Acknowledgements

The research was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China #10625105, #11071093, the PhD specialized grant of the Ministry of Education of China #20100144110001, and the Special Fund for Basic Scientific Research of Central Colleges #CCNU10C01001, CCNU12C01001.

References

[1] D. Bresch, B. Desjardins. On the existence of global weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations for viscous compressible and heat conducting fluids. *J. Math. Pures Appl.,* 87(2007), 57-90.

[2] Y. Cho, H. Kim. On classical solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations with nonnegative initial densities. *Manuscripta Math.,* 120(2006), 91-129.

[3] Y. Cho, H. Kim. Existence results for viscous polytropic fluids with vacuum. *J. Differential Equations,* 228(2006), 377-411.

[4] P. Constantin, W. E, E. S. Titi. Onsager's Conjecture on the Energy Conservation for Solutions of Euler's Equation. *Commun. Math. Phys.,* 165(1994), 207-209.

[5] B. Desjardins. Regularity of weak solutions of the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations. *Commun. Partial Differential Equations,* 22(1997), 977-1008.

[6] S.J. Ding, H.Y. Wen, C.J. Zhu. Global classical large solutions to 1D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with density-dependent viscosity and vacuum. *J. Differential Equations,* 251(2011), 1696-1725.

[7] S.J. Ding, H.Y. Wen, L. Yao, C.J. Zhu. Global spherically symmetric classical solution to compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large initial data and vacuum. *SIAM J. Math. Anal.,* 44(2012), 1257-1278.

[8] C. Fefferman, $http://www.claymath.org/millennium/Navier-Stokes\_Equations/$.

[9] E. Feireisl. On the motion of a viscous, compressible and heat conducting fluid. *Indiana Univ. Math. J.,* 53(2004), 1705-1738.

[10] E. Feireisl. *Dynamics of Viscous Compressible Fluids.* Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford, 2004.

[11] E. Feireisl, A. Novotný, H. Petzeltová. On the existence of globally defined weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations. *J. Math. Fluid Mech.,* 3(2001), 358-392.

[12] D. Hoff. Discontinuous solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional flows of heat-conducting fluids. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.,* 139(1997), 303-354.

[13] D. Hoff, H. Jenssen. Symmetric nonbarotropic flows with large data and forces. *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.,* 173 (2004), 297-343.

[14] X. Huang, J. Li. Global classical and weak solutions to the three-dimensional full compressible Navier-Stokes system with vacuum and large oscillations. arXiv:1107.4655v2 [math-ph] 26 Jul 2011.

[15] X. Huang, J. Li, Z. Xin. Global well-posedness of classical solutions with large oscillations and vacuum to the three-dimensional isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.,* 65(2012), 549-585.

[16] N. Itaya. On the Cauchy problem for the system of fundamental equations describing the movement of compressible viscous fluid. *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.,* 23(1971), 60-120.

[17] S. Jiang. Global spherically symmetric solutions to the equations of a viscous polytropic ideal gas in an exterior domain. *Comm. Math. Phys.,* 178(1996), 339-374.

[18] S. Jiang. Global smooth solutions of the equations of a viscous, heat-conducting one-dimensional gas with density-dependent viscosity. *Math. Nachr.,* 190(1998), 169-183.

[19] S. Jiang. Large-time behavior of solutions to the equations of a viscous polytropic ideal gas. *Annali di Matematica pura ed applicata,* (IV)(1998), 253-275.

[20] S. Jiang, Large-time behavior of solutions to the equations of a one-dimensional viscous polytropic ideal gas in unbounded domains, *Comm. Math. Phys.,* 200(1999), 181-193.

[21] S. Jiang, P. Zhang. Global weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations for a 1D viscous polytropic ideal gas. *Quart. Appl. Math.,* 61(2003), 435-449.

[22] Q.S Jiu, Y. Wang, Z.P. Xin. Global well-posedness of 2D compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large data and vacuum. arXiv:1202.1382v1 [math.AP] 7 Feb 2012.

[23] B. Kawohl. Global existence of large solutions to initial boundary value problems for a viscous, heat-conducting, one-dimensional real gas. *J. Differential Equations,* 58(1985), 76-103.

[24] A.V. Kazhikhov, V.V. Shelukhi. Unique global solution with respect to time of initial-boundary value problems for one-dimensional equations of a viscous gas. *Prikl. Mat. Meh.,* 41(1977), 282-291.

[25] P.L. Lions. *Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, Vol. II, Compressible Models,* Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1998.

[26] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida. The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases. *J. Math. Kyoto Univ.,* 20(1980), 67-104.

[27] A. Matsumura, T. Nishida. The initial boundary value problems for the equations of motion of compressible and heat-conductive fluids. *Comm. Math. Phys.,* 89(1983), 445-464.

[28] O. Rozanova. Blow-up of smooth highly decreasing at infinity solutions to the compressible NavierCStokes equation. *J. Differential Equations,* 245(2008), 1762-1774.

[29] A. Tani. On the first initial-boundary value problem of compressible viscous fluid motion. *Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. Kyoto Univ.,* 13(1977), 193-253.

[30] H.Y. Wen, C.J. Zhu. Global classical large solutions to Navier-Stokes equations for viscous compressible and heat conducting fluids with vacuum. arXiv:1103.1421v1 [math.AP] 8 Mar 2011, 1-38.

[31] H.Y. Wen, C.J. Zhu. Global symmetric classical and strong solutions of the full compressible Navier-Stokes equations with vacuum and large initial data. arXiv:1109.5328v2 [math.AP] 18 Apr 2012.

[32] Z.P. Xin. Blowup of smooth solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equation with compact density. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.,* 51(1998), 229-240.

[33] Z.P. Xin, W. Yan. On Blowup of classical solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations. arXiv:1204.3169v1 [math.AP] 14 Apr 2012.